

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

3
4
5 IN RE: STATIC RANDOM ACCESS
6 MEMORY (SRAM) ANTITRUST
7 SETTLEMENT

Case No. M:07-CV-01819-CW

MDL No. 1819

**DECLARATION OF DENNIS GILARDI RE
DISSEMINATION OF NOTICE TO CLASS
MEMBERS**

8 This Document Relates to:

9 ALL INDIRECT PURCHASER ACTIONS

10 I, DENNIS A. GILARDI, declare and state as follows:

11
12 **INTRODUCTION**

13 1. I am the founder and principal of the Larkspur Design Group (“LDG”), which
14 is located at 3301 Kerner Blvd., San Rafael, California. For more than a quarter-century,
15 LDG has specialized in designing, developing and implementing unbiased, legal
16 notification plans and was retained by Plaintiffs’ counsel specifically to provide notice (the
17 “Notice Plan”) to the classes certified and provisionally certified by the Court in this matter.

18 2. In my role, I oversee all of my firms’ activities as it relates to these notice
19 services and I submit this declaration in order to provide the Court and parties in the above-
20 captioned matter my professional opinion regarding the best practicable manner of giving
21 notice of the proposed settlements to the Class Members. I have personal knowledge of the
22 matters set forth in this declaration and, if called as a witness, could and would testify
23 competently thereto. I submit this Declaration at the request of Plaintiffs’ Counsel
24 regarding the Static Random Access Memory (“SRAM”) Antitrust Settlement Notice Plan.

25 3. This Declaration is based upon my personal knowledge, information provided
26 by Plaintiffs’ Counsel, research regarding the case, information obtained by LDG’s staff
27 and reliable industry sources, including Simmons Market Research Bureau, Inc.
28 (Simmons), MediaMark Research, Inc. (MRI), and Standard Rate and Data Service

1 (SRDS). The information is of the type that is reasonably relied upon by professionals in
2 the fields of legal notification, media, advertising and class action administration.

3 4. LDG frequently works in concert with its sister company, Gilardi & Co. LLC
4 (“Gilardi”). Gilardi was established nearly three decades ago and is one of the largest full
5 service class action notice and claims administrators in the United States. Gilardi will
6 provide the printing and mailing services contemplated by this Notice Plan in the most cost
7 efficient manner available.

8 5. LDG has over 25 years experience in the advertising and communications
9 industry, with specific expertise in legal notice campaigns. As such, LDG is familiar with,
10 and guided by Constitutional due process provisions, rules of states and local jurisdictions
11 and the relevant case law. In all matters, LDG customizes its legal notice campaign based
12 on the specifics of the particular case, and media plans designed and implemented by LDG
13 have included both domestic and international newspapers and magazines, internet-based
14 banners, notices and websites, wire service, radio, television, point of purchase displays and
15 direct mail.

16 6. LDG has conducted media campaigns ranging from a single local newspaper,
17 to multi-lingual, nationwide saturation efforts involving nearly 100 newspapers and
18 magazines. Our international notice campaigns have included extensive print and online
19 media in numerous foreign languages.

20 7. LDG’s capacity and experience extends to every segment of business, industry,
21 governmental and consumer activity. Prior LDG notification and advertising programs
22 include, but are not limited to, cases related to antitrust, consumer products, government
23 (FTC, SEC, DOJ and every state Attorneys’ General), insurance, pharmaceutical products,
24 product liability and securities and have involved apparel, automotive, computers,
25 consumer fraud, communications, entertainment, environment, financial services,
26 healthcare, insurance, internet shopping, labor, product liability, railroad, real estate,
27 restaurants, securities fraud, technology, tobacco, and utilities. LDG has designed and
28 implemented more than 2,500 advertising and notification plans.

1 “NEC”);

2 e. Etron Technology, Inc. and Etron Technology America, Inc. (collectively
3 “Etron”); and,

4 f. Toshiba Corporation and Toshiba America Electronic Components, Inc.
5 (collectively “Toshiba”) (collectively the “Settling Defendants”).

6 **CASE BACKGROUND**

7 10. This case concerns the sale of SRAM, which is a type of memory device that
8 cannot retain stored data without a power source. SRAM is used in a wide variety of
9 products including: (1) the communications market in cell phones and Voice Over Internet
10 Protocol (VOIP) technology; (2) the computer market in servers, mainframes, high-end
11 computer workstations, and personal digital assistants (PDAs) and smart phones; and (3)
12 the networking communications market in routers, switches, proxy and gateway devices,
13 modems, storage area networks and firewalls. More specifically, there are three general
14 types of SRAM: (1) asynchronous SRAM (typically called slow or low power), which is
15 used in mobile phones and other hand-held devices that contain a central processor, (2)
16 synchronous SRAM (typically called fast or high power) which is generally found in
17 computers and networking equipment and (3) pseudo SRAM (PSRAM) which is found in
18 smart phones and other devices that require low power consumption and fast memory.¹

19 11. Plaintiffs in this case are individuals and companies that purchased SRAM
20 indirectly from one or more of the Defendants. Defendants are various corporations that
21 sold SRAM to customers throughout the United States.

22 **TARGET AUDIENCE**

23 12. In crafting this Notice Plan, LDG’s sole purpose was to provide the best
24 practicable notice to the Class and ensure compliance with Rule 23. In so doing, LDG
25 relied on the Simmons 2010 Summer Full Year National Consumer Survey, which
26 determined that more than ninety-six percent (96%) of U.S. adults over the age of 18 live in
27

28 ¹In Re: Static Random Access Memory (SRAM) Antitrust Litigation, No. C 07-01819 CW, Order Granting IP
Plaintiff’s Motion For Class Certification and Denying Motions to Exclude Expert Opinions.

1 a household that owns a cell phone or a personal computer. Because the vast majority of
2 these devices include at least one of the three types of SRAM, LDG broadly defined the
3 intended audience for purposes of legal notification as all U.S. Adults over the age of 18, a
4 profile of approximately 220,336,000 (the "Target Audience")². In using this broad
5 definition, LDG begins with the premise that its notice needs to be as broad and deep as
6 possible. To implement this Notice Plan, LDG will rely primarily on a print media
7 campaign, to be supplemented by online and press release components and direct mail
8 notice. LDG has already created a case specific website and a toll-free telephone number,
9 through which Class members can request further information, download claim forms, or
10 be given answers to frequently asked questions. As discussed in more detail below, the
11 print media portion of the Notice Plan will reach approximately 60% of the Target
12 Audience at an average frequency³ of 2.11. The online and press release components of the
13 Notice Plan provide further saturation and are estimated to reach a minimum of thirty
14 percent (30%) of the Target Audience. Finally, the direct mail component will be used to
15 provide direct first class mailed notice to potential Class members. LDG believes that this
16 Notice Plan is comprehensive, the best practicable and will meet the requirements of due
17 process.

18 **SUMMARY OF NOTICE METHODS AND MEDIA VEHICLES**

19 13. As the Notice Plan must satisfy the requirements of Rule 23⁴, yet also remain
20 cost-effective and practicable, LDG's has chosen both the most effective and efficient
21 media vehicles to reach the Target Audience, and therefore ensure that Class members
22 receive legal notice. With that in mind, the Notice Plan relies on: 1) A national publication
23 plan that targets the unknown Class Members and effectively provides them legal notice,
24 and 2) a supplement of direct first-class mail or email to those potential Class Members

25 _____
26 ² See 2009 Simmons National Consumer Survey

27 ³ The number of times individuals (or homes) are exposed to an advertising message.

28 ⁴ Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(c)(2)(B) states: "For any class certified under Rule 23(b)(3), the court must direct to class members the best notice that is practicable under the circumstances, including individual notice to all members who can be identified through reasonable effort. Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(e) states that for a "proposed settlement", "The court must direct notice in a reasonable manner to all class members who would be bound by the proposal."

1 who we can identify through reasonable effort. To effectively communicate legal notice to
2 the Target Audience, we researched the Class and chose a mix of national media vehicles
3 including, print advertising, online advertising, newswire service, and direct notice via
4 United States Postal Service to companies in industries that potentially purchase SRAM for
5 end use or resale. A summary of the media vehicles to be utilized is listed below:

6 a. Print Publications

- 7 i. *Parade Magazine*, 1x , 2/5 page insertion
- 8 ii. *USA Weekend Magazine*, 1x 2/5 page insertion
- 9 iii. *People Magazine*, 1x ½ page insertion
- 10 iv. *USA Today*, 7x approx. 1/6 page insertion
- 11 v. *Time*, 1x ½ page insertion
- 12 vi. *In Touch Weekly*, 1x ½ page insertion
- 13 vii. *TV Guide*, 1x ½ page insertion
- 14 viii. *Newsweek Magazine*, 1x ½ page insertion
- 15 ix. *OK! Weekly*, 1x ½ page insertion
- 16 x. *Hawaii Tribune*, 1x approx. 1/6 page insertion
- 17 xi. *Honolulu Star Bulletin*, 1x approx. 1/6 page insertion
- 18 xii. *Maui News*, 1x approx. 1/6 page insertion
- 19 xiii. *El Nuevo Dia (Puerto Rico)*, 1x approx. 1/6 page insertion

20 b. Website, Search Engine Marketing and Social Network Marketing

- 21 i. Banner Display Advertising
 - 22 a. FACEBOOK.com - Minimum 20 million display impressions.
 - 23 b. Yahoo! Display Network – Minimum 8 million impressions with
 - 24 frequency capped at 3x per unique IP address.
- 25 ii. Google “Search” based and “Display Network” advertising
 - 26 a. Minimum 30 million impressions.
- 27 iii. Contextual advertising through Contextweb
 - 28 a. Minimum 25 million impressions (limited to 3 impressions per

1 unique IP address).

2 iv. Social networking page

3 v. Dedicated Case website

4 c. Direct First-Class Mail

5 i. The Long Form notice will be sent via 1st class USPS mail to all
6 companies that can be identified as being a potential indirect purchaser
7 of SRAM for resale. These Settlement Class members include those
8 entities that purchase SRAM indirectly (for example, from an SRAM
9 distributor), incorporate the SRAM into a finished product, and/or resell
10 it. Many of these resellers are also likely to be end user members of the
11 Class as they very likely purchased SRAM or products containing
12 SRAM for their own use in their businesses. LDG possesses a
13 comprehensive list of approximately 12,000 U.S. Businesses, derived
14 from a well known commercial list provider⁵. The list includes
15 companies in the following industries:

16 a. Electronic Manufacturers

17 b. Computer Peripheral Manufacturers

18 c. Computer Parts and Supply Manufacturers

19 d. Computer Networking Manufacturers

20 e. Companies in the Voice Over Internet Protocol
21 (“VOIP”) Industry

22 f. Cell Phone Manufacturers

23 d. Press Releases

24 i. One “party neutral” press releases circulated through Businesswire or
25 PR Newswire

26 14. In order to evaluate the overall strength and efficiency of the proposed Notice
27

28 ⁵ Infogroup has compiled the most comprehensive databases in the list provider industry including 210 million U.S. consumers, 14 million U.S. businesses, 13 million executives and more, all cleaned for duplicates and phone verified.

1 Plan, it is important to analyze how each of the media vehicles works together to direct
2 legal notice to the Class. The *reach* of a notice plan is defined as the percentage of a class
3 that had an opportunity to be exposed to the notice over a given period of time. The
4 *frequency* of notice is the average number of times that those reached by notice would be
5 exposed to it.

6 15. Numerous courts have held that notice plans estimated to reach a minimum of
7 70% of the settlement class, are adequate and sufficient and thus comply with Rule 23⁶.
8 When implemented, this Notice Plan will be within this standard of reach and we estimate
9 that it will reach approximately 85% of the Target Audience with a minimum *frequency* of
10 2.11. Moreover, as the Target Audience is broadly defined, it is assumed that the reach
11 percentage could be applied to the defined classes as well.

12 **NOTICE DESIGN**

13 16. LDG has reviewed and determined both the long-form Notice of Class Action
14 Settlements and the related Summary Notice meet the guidelines outlined on the Federal
15 Judicial Center's Class Action Notice website. Drafts of both the long-form Notice of
16 Pendency of Class Action and Partial Class Action Settlements and the related Summary
17 Notice are attached as Exhibit A. All are in full compliance with the following plain
18 language requirements, as set forth in Rule 23 of the Federal Code of Civil Procedure and
19 describe:

- 20 i. The nature of the action
- 21 ii. The definition of certified class
- 22 iii. The class claims, issues, and defenses
- 23 iv. The method by which one may exclude oneself
- 24 v. The timing and manner for requesting exclusion
- 25 vi. The timing and manner for objection
- 26 vii. The binding effect of the class judgment on the class members

27
28 ⁶ In Re Columbia/HCA Healthcare Corp., M.D. Tenn. 2003 (70% reach), Brown v. American Tobacco E.D. LA. 2002
(75% reach), In Re Department of Veteran Affairs (VA) Data Theft Litigation, MDL No 1796 (D. D.C.) (79.6% reach)

1 circulation for *USA Weekend* is approximately 21 million. A complete list of the papers
2 that includes either *USA Weekend* or *Parade* is attached as Exhibit B.

3 21. In order to maximize the visibility of the summary notice within each
4 newspaper, we will seek to position the legal notice in run of press sections that receive
5 more reader attention. These would include the business, technology, sports and/or
6 entertainment sections and are appropriate to our Target Audience. We will also utilize
7 fractional space ads in order to draw lead-in from articles and other editorial content. With
8 fractional space, there is the increased likelihood for positioning of the legal notice next to
9 an article, which therefore allows for the Notice to be placed in front of the reader for a
10 longer period of time. It thus has a higher likelihood to be read by a potential Class
11 member.

12 ONLINE CAMPAIGN

13 22. LDG firmly believes that the best Notice practicable should include a
14 substantial online campaign. This is particularly true for an audience as widespread and
15 broadly defined as the Target Audience, According to the Simmons Summer 2010 National
16 Consumer Survey, eighty-two percent (83%) of the Class members use an internet service,
17 sixty-six percent (63%) have been online for non-work related use in the last seven (7)
18 days, and fifty-five percent (50%) have visited Yahoo, Google, or MSN in the last thirty
19 (30) days. Indeed, there are currently approximately 1.7 billion internet users worldwide,
20 with approximately 122 million of those residing in the United States. Notwithstanding the
21 above, while nearly every business actively uses the internet to communicate and serve its
22 customers, the internet has traditionally been utilized in legal notification as a supplement.
23 Nonetheless, given that Rule 23(e) requires “notice in a reasonable manner to all class
24 members who would be bound by the proposal,” and that Rule 23(c)(2) requires the **best**
25 **notice practicable** under the circumstances, LDG will implement a comprehensive notice
26 campaign that will offer many advantages to the Class, including interactivity, high
27 consumer acceptance, flexibility to adjust message during the campaign, excellent support,
28

1 and tremendous cost efficiency.⁷

2 23. To ensure an effective online campaign, LDG will utilize display advertising,
3 sponsored links, content advertising, and social network advertising on general sites, and
4 also use sites that specialize in disseminating information about consumer class actions.
5 More specifically, the sponsored links portion of the campaign will target those individuals
6 specifically looking for information about the case, while the contextual portion will be
7 designed to reach those who may not be looking specifically for information about the case,
8 but may be browsing content relative to the subject matter. The social networking
9 component will provide an avenue for class member to communicate about the notice and
10 should generate significant “word of mouth” or “pass along” advertising.

11 24. For the display advertising component of the online campaign, LDG will
12 primarily utilize the Yahoo! network. As of January, 2010, Yahoo held the world's largest
13 market share in online display advertising⁸. Whereas Google is dominant in search based
14 advertising in which a user searches and clicks through to a different site, Yahoo has a
15 significant competitive advantage for display based advertising, which means that its pages
16 attract individuals looking for specific content. The display ads will utilize the Yahoo
17 Network, Facebook, Google Display Network and Contextweb Network and focus on the
18 Target Audience. Based on past performance, we expect to generate a minimum of 85
19 million impressions⁹.

20 25. Using search engine based sponsored link advertising in conjunction with print
21 media will improve the effectiveness of the print media because a great number of
22

23 ⁷ It is also worth noting that in 1997, the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California adopted Local Rule
24 23-2, which requires internet postings of Notice for securities Class Actions to an online clearinghouse maintained by
Stanford Law School.

25 ⁸ As of January 2010, Yahoo held the world’s largest market share in online display advertising. Moreover, JP Morgan
Chase & Co. put the company’s US market share for display ads at 17%, well ahead of No. 2 Microsfot and No. 3
AOL.

26 ⁹ An online advertisement impression is a single appearance of an advertisement on a web page. Each time an
27 advertisement loads onto a user’s screen, the ad server may count that loading as one impression. However, the ad
server may be programmed to exclude from the count certain non-qualifying activity such as a reload, internal user
28 actions, and other events that the advertiser and ad serving company agreed to not count. For online advertising, the
numbers of views can be a lot more precise. When a user requests a web page, the originating server creates a log entry.
Also, a third party tracker can be placed in the web page to verify how many accesses that page had.

1 individuals who read the published notice will not write down the website address. They
2 will go the internet and search for the case website by keywords. With our suggested
3 budget, we will be able to position the website URL within the top five sponsored links of
4 any search related to the keywords we select for the campaign. Similar to the phone book,
5 this method reaches the Target Audience when they are actually looking for the
6 information. LDG suggests developing the site content as early as possible in order to
7 establish a presence on the search engines. Appropriate keywords will be selected and
8 during the campaign, we will optimize the budget for the most effective ads. The majority
9 of the search based budget will be focused at Google, but we will include the other major
10 engines such as Yahoo, Bing, and AOL as well.

11 26. For the contextual component of the campaign, LDG will utilize Contextweb
12 and the Google Display Network. The emphasis of context, as a driver of advertising
13 results, is not a new technique. Similar to placing fractional ads near relevant print content,
14 marketers know that placing website links on pages with content relevant to the case is a
15 highly effective way to generate interest from those individuals who may not be looking for
16 the case website, but who may be potential class members nonetheless. In fact, a study¹⁰ on
17 the impact of contextual advertising commissioned by Google in 2008 confirmed the
18 following:

- 19 i. Participants were three times more likely to associate messaging with
20 an advertisers brand (or in this case, a lawsuit) when ads viewed were
21 contextually relevant to the articles in which they were placed
- 22 ii. Brands were six times more likely to make a participant's short list if
23 ads were viewed in the context of relevant editorial content.
- 24 iii. Brands were three times more likely to be chosen as a participant's
25 preferred vendor if ads were viewed in the context of relevant editorial
26 content.

27
28 ¹⁰ TECH MARKETING RESULTS: IN CONTEXT How Innovations in Contextual Targeting are taking Online
Results to the Next Level, By Jason Young, CEO, Ziff Davis Media

1 www.indirectsramcase.com and post case specific documents, frequently asked questions,
2 and continue to maintain the toll-free number through which Class members can request
3 further information. All critical information about Class members' rights will be included
4 and no required information will be omitted.

5 **DIRECT NOTICE**

6 31. When practicable and possible, direct first-class mailed notice is the preferred
7 form of legal notification. In *Eisen v. Carlisle & Jacqueline*, the Supreme Court held that
8 the express language and intent of the "best notice practicable under the circumstances"
9 requirement of Rule 23(c)(2) mandated that individual notice must be provided to those
10 class members who are identifiable by reasonable efforts. LDG has obtained a list of
11 companies that fit the profile of potential members of the Settlement Class and will utilize
12 the list to provide them direct first class mailed notice. While this is a supplemental
13 notification method in this case and represents a small portion of the classes, it is cost
14 effective, efficient and ensures that those entities will receive direct notice.

15 **SUMMARY**

16 32. Based on my experience, the Notice Plan is the best practicable and provides
17 adequate and reasonable notice to the Target Audience and Class members. The Notice
18 Plan will comport in all respects with Rule 23(c)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure
19 and also the guidance for effective notice in the *Manual for Complex Litigation 4th*.

20 33. Taking into account the net reach of the print portion, the gross reach of the
21 internet portion, and the other complimentary components (case website and earned media
22 through newswires), I believe that Notice Plan will reach approximately eighty-five percent
23 (85%) of the Class Members. Additionally, those known and identified entities will receive
24 direct first-class mail notice.

25 34. Finally, the Notice Plan will satisfy due process obligation and ensure that the
26 holdings of the critical Supreme Court decisions related to notice are satisfied, namely: a)
27 that the Notice Plan actually informs the class, and b) that the Notice Plan was reasonably
28 calculated to provide such information and notification.

1 35. The total estimated cost of the Notice Plan, as described, is dependent on the
2 size dimensions of the summary notice. The summary notice attached hereto can be
3 formatted to fit within the dimensions of 1/2 page ad unit size in the consumer magazines;
4 as such, the total cost is approximately \$1,208,090. An itemized outline of the costs is
5 attached as Exhibit C.

6
7 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the
8 foregoing is true and correct.

9
10 Executed on this 23rd day of May, 2011, at San Rafael, California.

11
12 A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Dennis Gilardi". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

13
14
15 _____
16 Dennis Gilardi

EXHIBIT - A

USA WEEKEND

2/5 PAGE—Vertical (3.25" x 9")

**If You Bought Static Random Access Memory (SRAM) Between
November 1, 1996 to December 31, 2006,
Class Action Lawsuits and Settlements May Affect You
SRAM is used in many computers, smart phones, PDAs and
other electronic devices**

Para una notificación en español, llamar o visitar nuestro website

A federal court certified a nationwide settlement class of individuals and companies that purchased SRAM indirectly from one or more Defendants (the "Settlement Class"). Defendants are corporations that indirectly sold SRAM to customers in the United States. For a full list of the defendants, visit the website below. The case is In Re Static Random Access Memory (SRAM) Antitrust Litigation, No. 4:07-md-1819 CW in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California.

What is the Class Action About?

Plaintiffs claim that the Defendants conspired to fix, raise, maintain or stabilize prices of SRAM in violation of antitrust, unfair competition and unjust enrichment laws, resulting in overcharges to customers who indirectly purchased SRAM. Defendants deny that they did anything wrong. The court has not decided who is right. Defendants Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., Samsung Electronics America, Inc. and Samsung Semiconductor, Inc. and Defendant Cypress Semiconductor, Inc. (the "Settling Defendants") have agreed to settle with Plaintiffs; they continue to deny liability, but settled to avoid litigation expense and risk.

Who's Included?

You are a member of the Settlement Class and could get benefits if you indirectly purchased SRAM from one of the Defendants in the United States during the period November 1, 1996 through December 31, 2006. SRAM is a memory part or module that is sold by itself or as a part in electronic devices.

What Does the Settlement Provide?

The Settling Defendants have agreed to pay a total of \$15,900,000. Copies of the Settlement Agreements are available at the website below. In 2010, the Court approved settlements with other defendants that total \$25,422,000 (the "2010 Settlements"); those settlements are now final and binding on the Settlement Class.

How Will the Money Be Distributed?

The total Settlement Fund from all settlements is \$41,322,000. The Settlement Class includes indirect purchasers of SRAM that resold Defendants' SRAM ("Resellers"), as well as indirect purchasers of Defendants' SRAM that purchased it for their own use and not for resale ("End Users"). The Net Settlement Fund (the Settlement Fund minus court-approved costs, attorneys' fees and incentive awards), will be distributed as follows: (1) 36.7% of the Net Settlement Fund will be distributed to qualified Resellers through a court-approved claims process; and (2) 63.3% of the Net Settlement Fund will be distributed via a court-approved cy pres plan to non-profit charities for the benefit of End Users. The cy pres portion of the distribution plan is due to the high cost of processing claims and making direct cash distributions to many thousands of potential claimants relative to the average likely award to those claimants. Under the cy pres plan of distribution, payments will not be made to individual class members; instead, that portion of the Net Settlement Fund will be distributed to court-approved non-profit charities. Go to the website below to see the distribution plan details or the proposed list of non-profit charities. Unclaimed funds from the Reseller claims process, if any, will be added to the cy pres distribution. Class Counsel will request attorneys' fees in the amount of one-third of the Settlement Fund, reimbursement of their costs and expenses, and incentive payments for the court-appointed class representatives.

Who Represents You?

The Court has appointed Zelle Hofmann Voelbel & Mason LLP as Class Counsel. You do not have to pay these lawyers to represent you. You may hire your own attorney, if you wish; however, you will be responsible for your own attorney's fees and expenses.

What Are Your Options?

If you do not want to be a part of the Settlement Class or legally bound by the Samsung and Cypress settlements, you must exclude yourself from the Settlement Class. You may not exclude yourself from the 2010 Settlements. To exclude yourself from the Settlement Class, you must do so in writing, postmarked no later than Month 00, 2011.

The Court has scheduled a Fairness Hearing for Month 00, 2011 at 2 p.m. and will consider whether to approve the proposed settlements, distribution plan and requests for attorneys' fees, costs and incentive payments. This date may change. Check the website below for updates.

You may object to or comment on any part of the proposed settlement. Your objection/comment must be filed with the Court by Month 00, 2011. You may also request in writing to speak at the Final Approval Hearing.

If you are a Reseller and want to make a claim, or for more information, you may 1) write to SRAM Indirect Litigation, P.O. Box 0000, City, ST 00000, 2) call the toll free phone number below or 3) visit the website below

1-800-000-0000

www.indirectsramcase.com

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
OAKLAND DIVISION

IN RE STATIC RANDOM ACCESS MEMORY)	Case No. 4:07-md-1819 CW
(SRAM) ANTITRUST LITIGATION)	
_____)	MDL No. 1819
)	
This Document Relates to:)	NOTICE OF CLASS
)	ACTION SETTLEMENTS
)	
All Indirect Purchaser Actions)	Judge: Hon. Claudia Wilken
_____)	

**YOU MAY BE A CLASS MEMBER IN THE ABOVE-ENTITLED LAWSUIT
IF YOU INDIRECTLY PURCHASED STATIC RANDOM ACCESS MEMORY (SRAM)
BETWEEN NOVEMBER 1, 1996 AND DECEMBER 31, 2006
FROM ANY OF THE FOLLOWING COMPANIES:**

- Cypress Semiconductor Corporation
- Etron Technology, Inc.
- Etron Technology America, Inc.
- Hynix Semiconductor Inc.
- Hynix Semiconductor America Inc.
- Micron Technology, Inc.
- Micron Semiconductor Products, Inc.
- Mitsubishi Electric Corporation
- Mitsubishi Electric & Electronics USA, Inc.
- NEC Electronics Corporation
- NEC Electronics America, Inc.
- Renesas Technology Corp.
- Renesas Technology America, Inc.
- Samsung Electronics Company, Ltd.
- Samsung Electronics America Inc.
- Samsung Semiconductor, Inc.
- Toshiba Corporation
- Toshiba America Electronic Components, Inc.

**IF YOU ARE A MEMBER OF THE PLAINTIFF CLASS, YOU SHOULD READ THIS NOTICE
BECAUSE IT WILL AFFECT YOUR RIGHTS**

IMPORTANT NOTE: THIS NOTICE IS TO INFORM YOU OF SETTLEMENTS SO THAT YOU CAN MAKE AN INFORMED DECISION AS TO WHETHER YOU SHOULD EXCLUDE YOURSELF FROM A CLASS, COMMENT ON THE PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OR MAKE A CLAIM. THE COURT HAS NOT EXPRESSED ANY OPINION AS TO THE MERITS OF ANY OF THE CLAIMS OR DEFENSES ASSERTED BY EITHER SIDE IN THIS LAWSUIT.

OVERVIEW

This notice is given pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and by Order of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California (the "District Court"). Pending in the District Court is a class action lawsuit brought on behalf of indirect purchasers of Static Random Access Memory (SRAM). The lawsuit is *In re SRAM Antitrust Litigation*, Case No. 4:07-md-1819 CW; MDL No.1819. The class action complaint alleges violations of the antitrust laws in connection with the sale of SRAM. (See more detailed description below – "**What Is This Class Action About?**")

The Settlement Class. The Court has certified a nationwide settlement class of individuals and companies that purchased SRAM in the United States indirectly from one or more Defendants (the “Settlement Class”). If you are a Settlement Class member, your rights will be affected by a proposed settlement with Defendants Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., Samsung Electronics America, Inc. and Samsung Semiconductor, Inc. (collectively “Samsung”) and a proposed settlement with Cypress Semiconductor, Inc. (“Cypress”) (the “Settlements”).

(See more detailed description below – “**What Is The Class Action Settlement?**”)

Pursuant to an Order of the District Court, a hearing (the “Fairness Hearing”) will be held on _____, 2011 at _____, before the Hon. Claudia Wilken, in Courtroom 2, on the 4th Floor of the United States District Courthouse, at 1301 Clay Street, Oakland, California 94612, to determine whether the proposed Settlements are fair, adequate and reasonable to the Class and, therefore, whether this litigation should be dismissed with prejudice against Samsung and Cypress (the “Settling Defendants”). The Court will also determine whether the proposed Plan of Distribution of settlement proceeds should be approved, and whether Class Counsel’s request for attorneys’ fees, reimbursement of their costs and expenses, and incentive payments for the court-appointed class representatives should be granted. The time and date of the Fairness Hearing may be continued without further notice to the Class. (See more detailed description below – “**What Is The Fairness Hearing?**”)

THE ALLEGATIONS, SETTLEMENT CLASS AND APPROVAL OF THE CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENTS

What is the Class Action About?

Plaintiffs claim that the Defendants conspired to fix, raise, maintain or stabilize prices of SRAM in violation of antitrust, unfair competition and unjust enrichment laws, resulting in overcharges to customers who indirectly purchased SRAM. Defendants deny that they did anything wrong. The District Court has not decided who is right. Samsung and Cypress, have agreed to settle with Plaintiffs; they continue to deny liability, but settled to avoid litigation expense and risk.

What Is The Class Action Settlement?

On February 11, 2011, the District Court preliminarily approved the Samsung Settlement. On March 11, 2011, the District Court preliminarily approved the Cypress Settlement. On _____, 2011, the District Court ordered that this notice be provided to Settlement Class members. Following is a description of the Settlement Class, Settlement Class members’ options, a summary of the reasons for settlements and a description of the proposed Settlements.

Prior Settlements in this Class Action

In 2010, the Court approved settlements with all other defendants in the case (the “2010 Settlements”). The payments made by the other defendants in the 2010 Settlements total \$25,422,000. The 2010 Settlements are now final and binding on the Settlement Class.

Who Are Members of the Settlement Class?

The Settlement Class

The Settlement Class includes all persons and entities residing in the United States who, from November 1, 1996 through December 31, 2006 (the “Class Period”), purchased SRAM indirectly from one or more of the Defendants listed above. The Settlement Class includes persons or entities who indirectly purchased SRAM for their own use and not for resale (*i.e.*, End Users), as well as persons or entities who indirectly purchased SRAM for resale and not for their own use (*i.e.*, Resellers).

Types of SRAM

For purposes of the Settlement Class, SRAM means all types of Static Random Access Memory parts and modules as well as pseudostatic random access memory (“PSRAM”).

Products Containing SRAM

The Settlement Class includes persons or entities who purchased products containing Defendants’ SRAM. SRAM is used in a variety of product markets, including: (1) the communications market in cell phones and Voice Over Internet Protocol (VOIP) technology; (2) the computer market in servers, mainframes, high-end computer workstations, and personal digital assistants (PDAs) and smart phones; and (3) the networking communications market in routers, switches, proxy and gateway devices, modems, storage area networks and firewalls.

What Should I Do?

If you meet the definition of a member of the Settlement Class (see above “Who Are Members of the Settlement Class?”), you can either: (1) remain a class member; or, (2) request to be excluded from the class. You may not exclude yourself from the 2010 Settlements because those settlements are final and binding on the Settlement Class.

Remain a Settlement Class Member.

If you want to remain a Settlement Class member, you do not need to take any further action at this time. You will automatically remain a Settlement Class member. (See below “What Happens If I Do Not Exclude Myself?”).

If you remain a class member, you will be bound by the Court’s rulings in the lawsuit, including any final Settlement or Judgment. However, you can object to or comment on any proposed Settlement, and you also have the right to appear in Court.

The Court has appointed Lead Counsel to represent all class members. Lead Counsel for the class is obligated to protect and pursue the interests of all class members. There is no cost to you to be represented by Lead Counsel for the class. You can also hire your own attorney at your own cost.

Exclude Yourself from the Settlement Class

If you want to exclude yourself from the Settlement Class and keep your right to sue the Settling Defendants on your own, you must take further action. (See below “How Do I Exclude Myself From the Settlement Class?”)

Any class member who excludes himself or herself from the Settlement Class will not be eligible to share in any of the Settlement Fund obtained by the Settlement Class in this action, including the proposed Settlements discussed below.

How Do I Exclude Myself From the Settlement Class?

Each class member shall have the right to be excluded from the Settlement Class by mailing a request for exclusion to SRAM Indirect Litigation, c/o Gilardi & Co. LLC, P.O. Box 8090, San Rafael, CA 94912-8090, postmarked no later than _____, ___, 2011.

Requests for exclusion must: (1) be in writing; (2) set forth the name and address of the person or entity who wishes to be excluded, as well as all trade names or business names and addresses used by such person or entity, if applicable; and (3) must be signed by the class member seeking exclusion.

What Happens If I Do Not Exclude Myself from the Settlement Class?

Any Settlement Class member who does not properly and timely request exclusion from the Settlement Class shall, upon final approval of the Settlements, be bound by all the terms and provisions of the Settlements, including but not limited to the releases, waivers, and covenants described in the Settlements; their claims against the Settling Defendants shall forever be released and dismissed, whether or not such person or entity

objected to such Settlements and whether or not such person or entity made a claim upon any fund from such Settlements.

The Parties' Reasons for Settlement

As part of this litigation, Class Counsel have conducted extensive formal discovery into the Plaintiffs' claims and the defenses that might be asserted thereto. This investigation has included discovery and analysis of millions of pages of Defendants' documents and records, depositions of certain of Defendants' officers and employees, consultation with expert consultants, as well as analysis of relevant legal issues. Based on this investigation, Class Counsel believes that the Settlements are fair, reasonable and adequate and in the best interest of the Settlement Class. Class Counsel and Plaintiffs also recognize the expense and length of continued proceedings necessary to continue the litigation against the Settling Defendants through verdict, judgment and appeals, and have taken into account the uncertainty and the risk of the outcome of continued litigation, especially in complex actions such as these, and the difficulties and delays inherent in such actions.

Settling Defendants have denied and continued to deny each and all of the claims and contentions alleged by the Plaintiff. Settling Defendants have repeatedly asserted and continue to assert many defenses thereto, and have expressly denied and continue to deny any wrongdoing or legal liability arising out of any of the conduct alleged in the class action or that Plaintiffs and the Settlement Class have suffered any damage by reason of the alleged wrongdoing. Nevertheless, Settling Defendants have concluded that the further conduct of this litigation against them would be protracted and expensive and that settlement therefore is desirable. Settling Defendants also have taken into account the uncertainty and the risk of the outcome in any litigation, especially complex cases such as this one. Settling Defendants have, therefore, determined that it is desirable and beneficial to them that the litigation be settled in the manner and upon the terms and conditions set forth in the parties' Settlements.

The Proposed Settlements

The Settlements represent compromises of disputed claims. It does not mean that liability or damages would have been found against any of the Settling Defendants. The Settling Defendants continue to deny any and all wrongdoing or liability.

The Settlement with Samsung requires the payment of Fourteen Million Nine Hundred Thousand U.S. Dollars (\$14,900,000) in cash. Pursuant to the Settlement, Samsung has deposited Fourteen Million Nine Hundred Thousand U.S. Dollars (\$14,900,000) into interest bearing accounts for the benefit of Settlement Class members.

The Settlement with Cypress requires the payment of One Million U.S. Dollars (\$1,000,000) in cash. Pursuant to the Settlement, Cypress has deposited One Million U.S. Dollars (\$1,000,000) into interest bearing accounts for the benefit of Settlement Class members.

If the Settlements are approved by the District Court and become effective, each Settlement Class member that did not timely and validly request exclusion from the Settlement Class (the "Releasers") shall have completely released, acquitted, and forever discharged from any and all claims, demands, actions, suits, causes of action, whether class, individual, direct, derivative, representative or otherwise in nature (whether or not any Settlement Class member has objected to the settlements or makes a claim upon or participates in the Settlement Fund, whether directly, representatively, derivatively or in any other capacity) that Releasers, or each of them, ever had, now has, or hereafter can, shall, or may have on account of, or in any way arising out of, any and all known and unknown, foreseen and unforeseen, suspected or unsuspected injuries, damages, and the consequences thereof in any way arising out of or relating in any way to any act or omission of the Settling Defendants (or any of them) concerning the manufacture, supply, distribution, sale or pricing of SRAM up through the last date of the Class Period, including but not limited to any conduct alleged, and causes of action

asserted or that could have been alleged or asserted, in class action complaints filed in the Action, including those arising under any federal or state antitrust, unfair competition, unfair practices, price discrimination, unitary pricing, or trade practice law, including without limitation, the Sherman Antitrust Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1 et seq. However, the release shall not affect the rights of Settlement Class members to pursue claims against the Settling Defendants: (i) relative to any product defect, breach of contract or a similar such claim; (ii) based on direct purchases of SRAM; or, (iii) based on purchases of SRAM outside the United States.

THIS IS ONLY A SUMMARY OF THE SETTLEMENTS. THE SETTLEMENTS ARE ON FILE WITH THE DISTRICT COURT AND ARE AVAILABLE AT www.indirectsramcase.com.

Plan of Distribution of the Settlements and Attorneys' Fees

The total Settlement Fund from all settlements is \$41,322,000. The Settlement Class includes all persons and entities residing in the United States who, from November 1, 1996 through December 31, 2006, purchased SRAM in the United States indirectly from the Defendants. The foregoing Settlement Class includes indirect purchasers of SRAM that purchased and resold Defendants' SRAM ("Resellers"), as well as indirect purchasers of Defendants' SRAM that purchased it for their own use and not for resale ("End Users"). The Net Settlement Fund (*i.e.*, the Settlement Fund minus court-approved costs, attorneys' fees and incentive awards), will be distributed as follows: (1) 36.7% of the Net Settlement Fund will be distributed to qualified Resellers through a court-approved claims process; and (2) 63.3% of the Net Settlement Fund will be distributed via a Court-approved *cy pres* plan to non-profit charities for the benefit of End Users.

The *cy pres* portion of the distribution plan is due to the cost to process claims and make direct cash distributions to many thousands of potential claimants relative to the average likely award to those claimants. Under the *cy pres* plan of distribution, payments will not be made to individual End User members of the Settlement Class; instead, that portion of the Net Settlement Fund will be distributed to court-approved non-profit charities. The proposed recipients include nonprofit, charitable organizations that serve groups that are, as nearly practicable, representative of the End Users in the Settlement Class, as well as other court-approved nonprofit, charitable organizations. Go to the website below to see the distribution plan details or the list of proposed non-profit charities. Unclaimed funds from the Reseller claims process, if any, will be added to the *cy pres* distribution.

Class Counsel will request attorney's fees in the amount of one-third of the total Settlement Fund plus reimbursement of their costs and expenses. Class Counsel will also request incentive payments for the court-appointed class representatives. To see Class Counsel's requests, review their filings with the District Court or go to the website below.

What Is The Fairness Hearing?

A Fairness Hearing will be held on _____, 2011 at _____, before the Hon. Claudia Wilken, in Courtroom 2, on the 4th Floor of the United States District Courthouse, at 1301 Clay Street, Oakland, California 94612. At that hearing, Judge Wilken will determine whether the proposed Settlements are fair, adequate and reasonable to the Settlement Class and, therefore, whether this litigation should be dismissed with prejudice against the Settling Defendants. The Court will also determine whether the proposed Plan of Distribution of settlement proceeds should be approved, and whether Class Counsel's request for attorneys' fees, reimbursement of their costs and expenses, and incentive payments for the court-appointed class representatives should be granted. The time and date of the Fairness Hearing may be continued without further notice to the Class.

Right to Appear and Object to the Settlements at the Fairness Hearing

Any Settlement Class member may appear and be heard regarding any of the matters before the District

Court at the Fairness Hearing, including objecting to the Settlements, the Plan of Distribution or the attorneys' fees request. A Settlement Class member is not required to appear in person at the hearing, but can instead make only a written submission to the District Court. In any event, a Settlement Class member who intends to appear in person, or who wants to have only a written submission considered, must file with the District Court Clerk a notice that fully sets forth the Settlement Class member's arguments, including proof of membership in the Settlement Class and any objection to the Settlement. That notice must be filed with the Clerk, United States District Court for the Northern District of California, 1301 Clay Street, Oakland CA 94612, *no later than* _____, 2011, with copies served, *no later than* _____, 2011, on the counsel identified below:

Counsel for Plaintiffs and the Settlement Class

Francis O. Scarpulla
Craig C. Corbitt
Christopher T. Micheletti
Zelle Hofmann Voelbel & Mason LLP
44 Montgomery St., Suite 3400
San Francisco, CA 94104

Counsel for Samsung

James L. McGinnis
Michael W. Scarborough
SHEPPARD MULLIN RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP
Four Embarcadero Center, 17th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111-4106

Counsel for Cypress

Lee H. Rubin
MAYER BROWN LLP
Two Palo Alto Square, Suite 300
3000 El Camino Real
Palo Alto, CA 94306-2112

What Should I Do?

If you are a Settlement Class member, you may: (1) do nothing; (2) submit a claim, but only if you are a Reseller member of the Settlement Class; or (3) file a notice to be heard at the Fairness Hearing.

Do Nothing

- If you are a Settlement Class member, you may choose to do nothing at this time. If you are a Reseller member of the Settlement Class and do nothing, you will not receive any payments. If you are an End User member of the Settlement Class and do nothing, net Settlement Fund proceeds will still be distributed to court-approved non-profit charities.
- By doing nothing, you will be bound by the District Court's rulings with respect to the proposed Settlements and dismissals against the Settling Defendants.

Submit a Claim if You are A Reseller

- If you are a Reseller member of the Settlement Class, you may submit a claim for payment. You must submit a claim postmarked by *no later than* _____, 2011.
- Submitting a claim does not guarantee that you will receive a payment. Your claim will be reviewed by the Settlement Administrator and only qualified Reseller claimants will receive payments.
- If you are a Reseller and want to make a claim, or for more information, you may 1) write to SRAM

Indirect Litigation, c/o Gilardi & Co. LLC, P.O. Box 8090, San Rafael, CA 94912-8090, 2) call the toll free phone number below or 3) visit the website www.indirectsramcase.com.

File Notice to be Heard at the Fairness Hearing

- If you are a Settlement Class member and you want to be heard regarding any of the matters before the District Court at the Fairness Hearing, including objecting to the Settlement, you must file notice with the District Court Clerk *no later than* _____, 2011. (See more detailed description above “**Right to Appear and Object to the Settlements at the Fairness Hearing**”)
- If you do not file notice as described above, you waive your right to object to the Settlements.

IF YOU ARE A SETTLEMENT CLASS MEMBER AND YOU WANT TO BE HEARD AT THE FAIRNESS HEARING, YOU MUST FILE NOTICE WITH THE DISTRICT COURT AS DESCRIBED HEREIN.

Important Dates

- _____, 2011: Last day for Settlement Class members to file notice with District Court Clerk to be heard at Fairness Hearing, including notice of objection to any Settlement.
- _____, 2011: Last day for Settlement Class members to serve above-listed counsel with any notice to be heard at Fairness Hearing, including any notice of objection to any Settlement.
- _____, 2011: Fairness Hearing, includes hearing to finally approve the Settlements. (Date subject to change per District Court Order.)
- _____, 2011: Last day for Reseller members of the Settlement Class to submit a claim for payment from the Settlement Fund. Claim submission must be postmarked by this date.

THIS IS ONLY A SUMMARY OF THE CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENTS. FOR MORE DETAILED INFORMATION ABOUT THIS LITIGATION, YOU ARE REFERRED TO THE PAPERS FILED IN THE ACTION WHICH MAY BE INSPECTED AT THE DISTRICT COURT. IN ADDITION, THE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENTS AND OTHER INFORMATION ABOUT THE CASE ARE AVAILABLE ONLINE AT www.indirectsramcase.com.

ALL INQUIRIES CONCERNING THIS NOTICE AND THE SETTLEMENT SHOULD BE DIRECTED TO PLAINTIFFS’ CLASS COUNSEL OR THE SETTLEMENT ADMINISTRATOR AT THE ADDRESSES LISTED HEREIN. INQUIRIES SHOULD NOT BE DIRECTED TO COUNSEL FOR SETTLING DEFENDANTS OR THE DISTRICT COURT.

Dated: _____, 2011

/s/ Hon. Claudia Wilken
By Order of the United States District Court,
Northern District of California (Oakland Division)

EXHIBIT - B

Arizona	CIRCULATION
Cottonwood: <i>Verde Independent & The Bugle</i>	4,573
Flagstaff: <i>Arizona Daily Sun</i>	11,991
Kingman: <i>The Kingman Daily Miner</i>	8,620
Lake Havasu City: <i>Today's News</i>	13,848
Mesa: <i>East Valley/Scottsdale Tribune</i>	94,739
Prescott: <i>The Daily Courier</i>	19,049
Tucson: <i>The Arizona Daily Star</i>	164,033
Yuma: <i>The Sun</i>	25,279
	<hr/>
	342,132

California	CIRCULATION
Bakersfield: <i>The Bakersfield Californian</i>	68,825
San Luis Obispo: <i>The Tribune</i>	41,794
Camarillo: <i>Ventura Country Star</i>	94,708
El Centro: <i>Imperial Valley Press</i>	12,099
Escondido: <i>North County Times</i>	87,511
Fresno: <i>The Fresno Bee</i>	171,039
Merced: <i>Merced Sun-Star</i>	19,585
Los Angeles: <i>Los Angeles Times</i>	1,101,981
Modesto: <i>The Modesto Bee</i>	81,952
Stockton: <i>The Record</i>	62,585
Redding: <i>Record Searchlight</i>	35,004
Riverside: <i>The Press Enterprise</i>	172,730
Sacramento: <i>The Sacramento Bee</i>	307,480
San Diego: <i>The San Diego Union-Tribune</i>	355,537
San Francisco: <i>San Francisco Chronicle</i>	424,603
Santa Ana: <i>The Orange County Register</i>	311,982
Santa Rosa: <i>The Press Democrat</i>	78,505
	<hr/>
	3,427,920

Colorado	CIRCULATION
Boulder: <i>Sunday Camera</i>	33,124
Glenwood Springs: <i>Glenwood Springs Post Independent</i>	1,900
Windsor: <i>Windsor Now!</i>	8,116
Colorado Springs: <i>The Gazette</i>	109,603
Pueblo: <i>The Pueblo Sunday Chieftain</i>	50,243
Denver: <i>The Denver Post & Rocky Mountain News</i>	600,026
Grand Junction: <i>The Daily Sentinel</i>	33,294
	<hr/>
	836,306

Connecticut	CIRCULATION
Danbury: <i>The News-Times</i>	31,152
Stamford: <i>Stamford Advocate/Greenwich Time</i>	34,602
Manchester: <i>Journal Inquirer</i>	40,581
Meriden: <i>Record-Journal</i>	22,431
New Britain: <i>The Herald Press</i>	20,096
New Haven: <i>New Haven Register</i>	80,279
New London: <i>The Day</i>	40,670
Torrington: <i>The Register Citizen</i>	7,255
Waterbury: <i>The Sunday Republican</i>	57,385
	<hr/>
	334,451

Florida	CIRCULATION
Bradenton: <i>Bradenton Herald</i>	54,210
Lakeland: <i>The Ledger</i>	83,860
Sarasota: <i>Herald-Tribute</i>	125,644
Cape Coral: <i>Cape Coral Daily</i>	54,817
Naples: <i>Naples Daily News</i>	74,553
Fort Walton Beach: <i>Northwest Florida Daily News</i>	41,528
Gainesville: <i>The Gainesville Sun</i>	49,179
Lake City: <i>Lake City Reporter</i>	8,943
Miami (El): <i>El Nuevo Herald</i>	88,035
Miami: <i>The Miami Herald</i>	311,245
Ocala: <i>Star-Banner</i>	50,185
Orlando: <i>Orlando Sentinel</i>	332,030
St. Petersburg: <i>St. Petersburg Times</i>	432,779
Tampa: <i>The Tampa Tribune</i>	283,784
Stuart: <i>SCRIPPS Treasure Coast Newspapers</i>	120,294
West Palm Beach: <i>The Palm Beach Post</i>	195,608
	<hr/>
	2,306,694

Illinois	CIRCULATION
Belleville: <i>Belleville News-Democrat</i>	63,113
Centralia: <i>Morning Sentinel</i>	14,168
Bloomington: <i>The Pantagraph</i>	50,081
Canton: <i>The Daily Ledger</i>	5,000
Galesburg: <i>The Register-Mail</i>	13,090
Kewanee: <i>Star Courier</i>	6,000
Monmouth: <i>Daily Review Atlas</i>	2,500
Pekin: <i>Pekin Daily Times</i>	8,788
Peoria: <i>Journal Star</i>	80,394
Carbondale: <i>The Southern Illinoisan</i>	36,975
Champaign: <i>The News-Gazette</i>	45,030
Decatur: <i>Herald & Review</i>	47,436
Springfield: <i>The State Journal-Register</i>	58,272
Chicago: <i>Chicago Tribune</i>	898,703
Chicago: <i>RedEye</i>	94,291
Freeport: <i>The Journal-Standard</i>	12,330
Macomb: <i>The Macomb Journal</i>	5,300
Quincy: <i>Quincy Herald-Whig</i>	23,939
Ottawa: <i>The Times</i>	15,074
	<hr/>
	1,480,484

Massachusetts	CIRCULATION
Boston: <i>Boston Sunday Globe</i>	525,959
Hyannis: <i>Sunday Cape Cod Times</i>	47,482
Worcester: <i>Sunday Telegram</i>	96,553
New Bedford: <i>Sunday Standard-Times</i>	30,549
Springfield: <i>Sunday Republican</i>	119,014
	<hr/>
	819,557

Michigan	CIRCULATION
Adrian: <i>The Daily Telegram</i>	15,390
Ann Arbor: <i>The Ann Arbor News</i>	57,057
Dearborn: <i>Press & Guide</i>	12,243
Lapeer: <i>The County Press</i>	11,061
Monroe: <i>The Monroe Sunday News</i>	22,853
Mount Clemens: <i>The Macomb Daily</i>	59,863
Pontiac: <i>The Oakland Press</i>	76,937
Royal Oak: <i>The Daily Tribune</i>	10,339
Shelby Township: <i>Advisor & Source Newspapers</i>	164,598
Southgate: <i>The News-Herald</i>	44,931
Bad Axe: <i>The Huron Daily Tribune</i>	6,998
Bay City: <i>The Bay City Times</i>	38,715
Flint: <i>The Flint Journal</i>	91,017
Midland: <i>The Midland Daily News</i>	17,444
Mount Pleasant: <i>Morning Sun</i>	10,865
Saginaw: <i>The Saginaw News</i>	48,839
Grand Rapids: <i>The Grand Rapids Press</i>	177,026
Kalamazoo: <i>Kalamazoo Gazette</i>	65,693
Muskegon: <i>The Muskegon Chronicle</i>	45,583
Jackson: <i>Citizen Patriot</i>	34,812
Marquette: <i>The Mining Journal</i>	15,842
Petoskey: <i>Petoskey News-Review</i>	10,717
Traverse City: <i>Record-Eagle</i>	32,547
	<hr/>
	1,071,370

Missouri	CIRCULATION
Cape Girardeau: <i>Southeast Missourian</i>	19,800
Dexter: <i>The Daily Statesman</i>	3,446
Kennett: <i>The Daily Dunklin Democrat</i>	3,965
Poplar Bluff: <i>Daily American Republic</i>	12,124
Sikeston: <i>Standard Democrat</i>	6,412
Columbia: <i>Missourian</i>	4,835
Jefferson City: <i>News Tribune</i>	22,093
Joplin: <i>The Joplin Globe</i>	33,093
Kansas City: <i>The Kansas City Star</i>	345,332
Nevada: <i>Sunday Herald-Tribune</i>	5,932
Park Hills: <i>Daily Journal</i>	8,397
St. Louis: <i>Suburban Newspapers of Greater St. Louis</i>	301,173
St. Joseph: <i>St. Joseph News-Press</i>	35,784
St. Louis: <i>St. Louis Post-Dispatch</i>	414,564
	<hr/>
	1,216,950

New Jersey	CIRCULATION
Atlantic City: <i>The Press of Atlantic City</i>	78,797
Salem: <i>Today's Sunbeam</i>	9,248
Trenton: <i>The Times</i>	56,449
Willingboro: <i>Burlington County Times</i>	36,948
Woodbury: <i>Gloucester County Times</i>	25,131
Flemington: <i>Hunterdon Observer</i>	48,802
Hackensack: <i>Suburban Trends</i>	8,626
Hackensack: <i>The Record/North Jersey Herald News</i>	195,525
Newton: <i>The New Jersey Herald</i>	19,151
Jersey City: <i>The Jersey Journal</i>	24,934
Newark: <i>Sunday Star-Ledger</i>	500,382
	<hr/>
	1,003,993

New York	CIRCULATION
Albany: <i>Times Union</i>	141,064
Gloversville: <i>The Leader-Herald</i>	10,943
Auburn: <i>The Citizen</i>	12,703
Oneida: <i>The Oneida Daily Dispatch</i>	6,501
Syracuse: <i>The Post-Standard</i>	158,529
Buffalo: <i>The Buffalo News</i>	260,445
Canandaigua: <i>Daily Messenger</i>	12,383
Geneva: <i>Finger Lakes Times</i>	17,816
Corning: <i>The Leader</i>	11,116
Hornell: <i>The Spectator</i>	9,560
Kingston: <i>Sunday Freeman</i>	22,068
Middletown: <i>The Sunday Record</i>	81,794
Staten Island: <i>Staten Island Sunday Advance</i>	69,311
New York: <i>New York Post</i>	401,315
Ogdensburg: <i>Advance News</i>	9,898
Oneonta: <i>The Daily Star</i>	14,391
Plattsburgh: <i>Press-Republican</i>	19,826
	<hr/> 1,259,663

North Carolina	CIRCULATION
Chapel Hill: <i>The Chapel Hill News</i>	22,952
Clinton: <i>The Sampson Independent</i>	8,285
Durham: <i>The Durham News</i>	54,672
Fayetteville: <i>The Fayetteville Observer</i>	65,595
Goldensboro: <i>Goldensboro New-Argus</i>	21,152
Raleigh: <i>The News & Observer</i>	211,245
Rocky Mount: <i>Rocky Mount Telegram</i>	16,362
Charlotte: <i>The Charlotte Observer</i>	264,170
Rockingham: <i>Richmond County Daily Journal</i>	7,253
Elizabeth City: <i>The Daily Advance</i>	10,258
Greensboro: <i>The News & Record</i>	101,409
Winston-Salem: <i>Winston-Salem Journal</i>	92,360
Greenville: <i>The Daily Reflector</i>	22,553
Washington: <i>Washington Daily</i>	8,829
Hendersonville: <i>Times-News</i>	17,173
Lumberton: <i>The Robesonian</i>	14,975
Wilmington: <i>Sunday Star-News</i>	53,746
	<hr/> 992,989

Pennsylvania	CIRCULATION
Allentown: <i>The Morning Call</i>	140,789
Doylestown: <i>The Intelligencer</i>	45,278
Easton: <i>The Express-Times</i>	44,323
Levittown: <i>Bucks County Courier Times</i>	63,571
Reading: <i>Reading Eagle</i>	79,787
DuBois: <i>Tri-County Sunday</i>	14,928
Johnstown: <i>The Tribune-Democrat</i>	41,430
State College: <i>Centre Daily Times</i>	31,017
Erie: <i>Erie Times-News</i>	75,746
Gettysburg: <i>Gettysburg Times</i>	9,621
Harrisburg: <i>Harrisburg Patriot-News</i>	140,217
Lancaster: <i>Sunday News</i>	98,314
Philadelphia: <i>The Philadelphia Inquirer</i>	630,665
Pittsburgh: <i>Pittsburgh Post-Gazette</i>	331,053
Sayre: <i>Morning Times</i>	5,368
Stroudsburg: <i>Pocono Record</i>	23,632
Sunbury: <i>The Daily Item</i>	25,247
Wilkes-Barre: <i>The Times Leader</i>	49,917

Williamsport: <i>Williamsport Sun-Gazette</i>	30,911
Sharon: <i>The Herald</i>	19,697
Uniontown: <i>Herald-Standard</i>	25,898
	<hr/>
	1,927,409

Alaska	CIRCULATION
Anchorage: <i>Daily News</i>	69,893
Fairbanks: <i>Daily News-Miner</i>	18,467
	<hr/> 88,360

Arkansas	CIRCULATION
Blytheville: <i>Blytheville Courier News</i>	3,127
Fayetteville/Little Rock/Bentonville: <i>Northwest Arkansas Times/Arkansas Democrat-Gazette/The Benton County Record</i>	274,494
	<hr/> 277,621

Delaware	CIRCULATION
Dover: <i>Delaware State News</i>	21,873

District of Columbia	CIRCULATION
Washington: <i>The Washington Post</i>	890,163

Georgia	CIRCULATION
Americus: <i>Americus Times-Recorder</i>	4,695
Columbus: <i>Columbus Ledger-Enquirer</i>	51,434
Macon: <i>The Telegraph</i>	70,438
Atlanta: <i>The Atlanta Journal-Constitution</i>	497,149
Cordele: <i>Cordele Dispatch</i>	4,084
Moultrie: <i>The Moultrie Observer</i>	6,280
Tifton: <i>The Tifton Gazette</i>	7,333
Hinesville: <i>Liberty County Coastal Courier</i>	4,464
Richmond Hill: <i>Bryan County News</i>	2,246
Statesboro: <i>Statesboro Herald</i>	7,642
Rome: <i>Rome News-Tribune</i>	17,914
Thomasville: <i>Thomasville Times-Enterprise</i>	9,264
Valdosta: <i>The Valdosta Daily Times</i>	18,202
	<hr/> 701,145

Hawaii	CIRCULATION
Honolulu: <i>Honolulu Star-Bulletin</i>	60,333
Wailuku: <i>The Maui News</i>	25,209
	<hr/> 85,542

Idaho	CIRCULATION
Boise: <i>The Idaho Statesman</i>	81,939
Nampa-Caldwell: <i>Idaho Press Tribune</i>	21,397
Twin Falls: <i>The Times-News</i>	23,577
Idaho Falls: <i>Post Register</i>	24,113
Pocatello: <i>Idaho State Journal</i>	18,750
Rexburg: <i>The Standard Journal</i>	4,958
Lewiston: <i>Lewiston Morning Tribune</i>	25,474
	<hr/> 200,208

Indiana	CIRCULATION
Anderson: <i>The Herald Bulletin</i>	22,508
Bloomington: <i>Hoosier Times</i>	40,787
Columbus: <i>The Republic</i>	21,380
Franklin: <i>Daily Journal</i>	17,113
Greenfield: <i>Daily Reporter</i>	9,695
Kokomo: <i>Kokomo Tribune</i>	20,570
Logansport: <i>Pharos-Tribune</i>	9,190
Evansville: <i>Evansville Courier & Press</i>	86,201
Fort Wayne: <i>The Journal Gazette</i>	111,485
Goshen: <i>The Goshen News</i>	13,012
South Bend: <i>South Bend Tribune</i>	89,802
Jeffersonville: <i>The Evening News & The Tribune</i>	14,148
Munster: <i>The Times</i>	92,347
Terre Haute: <i>Tribune-Star</i>	27,437
	<hr/>
	575,675

Iowa	CIRCULATION
Ames: <i>The Tribune</i>	13,332
Fort Dodge: <i>The Messenger</i>	18,242
Marshalltown: <i>Times-Republican</i>	9,875
Cedar Rapids: <i>The Gazette</i>	71,521
Waterloo: <i>The Courier</i>	50,322
Davenport: <i>Quad-City Times</i>	67,753
Mason City: <i>Globe-Gazette</i>	21,947
	<hr/>
	252,992

Kansas	CIRCULATION
Great Bend: <i>The Great Bend Tribune</i>	6,079
Wichita: <i>The Wichita Eagle</i>	130,333
Manhattan: <i>The Manhattan Mercury</i>	11,057
Olathe: <i>The Olathe News</i>	4,122
	<hr/>
	151,591

Kentucky	CIRCULATION
Ashland: <i>The Independent</i>	17,321
Bowling Green: <i>Daily News</i>	24,805
Glasgow: <i>The Glasgow Daily Times</i>	9,100
Danville: <i>The Kentucky Advocate</i>	10,619
Lexington: <i>Lexington Herald-Leader</i>	135,250
Somerset: <i>Commonwealth Journal</i>	8,958
Elizabethtown: <i>The News-Enterprise</i>	20,053
Henderson: <i>The Gleaner</i>	11,560
	<hr/>
	237,666

Louisiana	CIRCULATION
Abbeville-Eunice-Ville Platte: <i>Meridional-News-Gazette</i>	11,708
Crowley: <i>The Crowley Post Signal</i>	3,800
Lake Charles: <i>American Press</i>	39,825
Ruston: <i>The Ruston Daily Leader</i>	6,200
Baton Rouge: <i>The Advocate</i>	121,677
Houma: <i>The Courier</i>	18,857
New Orleans: <i>The Times-Picayune</i>	199,647
	<hr/> 401,714

Maryland	CIRCULATION
Baltimore: <i>The Baltimore Sun</i>	372,970
Cumberland: <i>The Cumberland Times-News</i>	29,869
Hagerstown: <i>The Herald-Mail</i>	37,027
	<hr/> 439,866

Minnesota	CIRCULATION
Albert Lea: <i>Albert Lea Tribune</i>	6,669
Austin: <i>Austin Daily Herald</i>	5,548
Winona: <i>Winona Daily News</i>	12,369
Bemidji: <i>The Bemidji Pioneer</i>	10,036
Faribault: <i>Faribault Daily News</i>	6,163
Northfield: <i>Northfield News</i>	4,900
Owatonna: <i>Owatonna People's Press</i>	7,540
Red Wing: <i>Red Wing Republican Eagle</i>	5,870
St. Paul: <i>Pioneer Press</i>	252,055
Willmar: <i>West Central Tribune</i>	16,341
Duluth: <i>Duluth News-Tribune</i>	60,885
Mankato: <i>The Free Press</i>	21,734
New Ulm: <i>The Journal</i>	8,555
Worthington: <i>The Daily Globe</i>	9,086
	<hr/> 427,751

Montana	CIRCULATION
Billings: <i>Billings Gazette</i>	50,940
Bozeman: <i>Bozeman Daily Chronicle</i>	18,572
Butte: <i>Montana Standard</i>	14,962
Helena: <i>Helena Independent Record</i>	14,678
Kalispell: <i>Daily Inter Lake</i>	17,480
Missoula: <i>Missoulian</i>	32,480
	<hr/> 149,112

Nebraska	CIRCULATION
North Platte: <i>The North Platte Telegraph</i>	12,080
Scottsbluff: <i>Star-Herald</i>	15,136
Omaha: <i>Sunday World-Herald</i>	219,795
	<hr/> 247,011

<u>Nevada</u>	<u>CIRCULATION</u>
Carson City: <i>Nevada Appeal</i>	21,154
Fallon: <i>Lahontan Valley News & Fallon Eagle Standard</i>	3,158
Sparks: <i>Sparks Tribune</i>	3,750
Las Vegas: <i>Las Vegas Review-Journal & Sun</i>	199,602
	<hr/> 227,664

<u>New Hampshire</u>	<u>CIRCULATION</u>
Keene: <i>The Keene Sentinel</i>	11,970
Manchester: <i>New Hampshire Sunday News</i>	67,226
Portsmouth: <i>Portsmouth Herald</i>	16,507
	<hr/> 95,703

<u>New Mexico</u>	<u>CIRCULATION</u>
Albuquerque: <i>Journal</i>	137,623
Hobbs: <i>News-Sun</i>	9,656
Santa Fe: <i>Santa Fe New Mexican</i>	25,380
	<hr/> 172,659

<u>North Dakota</u>	<u>CIRCULATION</u>
Dickinson: <i>The Dickinson Press</i>	5,807
Minot: <i>Minot Daily News</i>	19,441
Fargo: <i>The Forum</i>	58,277
Grand Forks: <i>Grand Forks Herald</i>	28,755
Jamestown: <i>The Jamestown Sun</i>	6,800
	<hr/> 119,080

<u>Ohio</u>	<u>CIRCULATION</u>
Akron: <i>Akron Beacon Journal</i>	155,436
Ashland: <i>Ashland Times-Gazette</i>	11,578
Canton: <i>The Repository</i>	78,066
Dover/New Philadelphia: <i>Times Reporter</i>	22,021
Elyria: <i>The Chronicle-Telegram</i>	24,963
Wooster: <i>The Daily Record</i>	22,627
Cambridge: <i>The Daily Jeffersonian</i>	12,780
Cleveland: <i>The Plain Dealer</i>	428,090
Dayton: <i>Dayton Daily News</i>	157,833
Springfield: <i>Springfield News-Sun</i>	31,038
Defiance: <i>The Crescent-News</i>	18,906
Toledo: <i>The Blade</i>	147,141
East Liverpool: <i>The Review</i>	8,266
Salem: <i>Salem News</i>	5,352
Youngstown: <i>The Vindicator</i>	75,257
Hamilton: <i>Journal-News</i>	21,705
Middletown: <i>The Middletown Journal</i>	18,299
Ironton: <i>The Ironton Tribune</i>	5,816
Portsmouth: <i>Portsmouth Daily Times</i>	11,933
	<hr/> 1,257,107

<u>Oklahoma</u>	<u>CIRCULATION</u>
Oklahoma City: <i>The Oklahoman</i>	262,150

Oregon	CIRCULATION
Bend: <i>The Bulletin</i>	33,087
Klamath: <i>Herald and News</i>	15,449
Medford: <i>Mail Tribune</i>	30,844
Coos Bay: <i>The World</i>	12,818
Eugene: <i>The Register-Guard</i>	70,193
Roseburg: <i>The News-Review</i>	19,466
Ontario: <i>Argus Observer</i>	6,994
Pendleton: <i>East Oregonian</i>	9,193
Portland: <i>The Sunday Oregonian</i>	361,988
	<hr/> 560,032

Rhode Island	CIRCULATION
Providence: <i>The Providence Sunday Journal</i>	192,849

South Carolina	CIRCULATION
Anderson: <i>Anderson Independent-Mail</i>	35,254
Greenwood: <i>The Index-Journal</i>	15,092
Spartanburg: <i>Herald-Journal</i>	50,956
Beaufort: <i>The Beaufort Gazette</i>	11,269
Hilton Head: <i>The Island Packet</i>	20,015
Charleston: <i>The Post Courier</i>	110,289
Myrtle Beach: <i>The Sun News</i>	61,238
Columbia: <i>The State</i>	128,564
Sumter: <i>The Item</i>	18,552
Rock Hill: <i>The Herald</i>	31,568
	<hr/> 482,797

South Dakota	CIRCULATION
Aberdeen: <i>American News</i>	16,553
Deadwood: <i>Lawrence County Journal</i>	2,000
Huron: <i>Plainsman</i>	6,020
Mitchell: <i>The Daily Republic</i>	12,471
Rapid City: <i>Rapid City Journal</i>	32,785
Watertown: <i>Watertown Public</i>	12,992
	<hr/> 82,821

Tennessee	CIRCULATION
Chattanooga: <i>Chattanooga Times Free Press</i>	95,039
Cookeville: <i>Herald-Citizen</i>	13,371
Murfreesboro: <i>The Murfreesboro Post</i>	45,000
Shelbyville: <i>Shelbyville Times-Gazette</i>	6,940
Tullahoma: <i>The Sunday News</i>	10,400
Dyersburg: <i>The State Gazette</i>	5,588
Memphis: <i>The Commercial Appeal</i>	188,040
Greenville: <i>The Greenville Sun</i>	15,329
Johnson City: <i>Johnson City Press</i>	32,364
Knoxville: <i>The News-Sentinel</i>	147,939
Morristown: <i>Citizen Tribune</i>	24,001
Newport: <i>The Newport Plain Talk</i>	7,374
	<hr/> 591,385

Texas	CIRCULATION
Abilene: <i>Abilene Reporter-News</i>	39,716
Brownwood: <i>Brownwood Bulletin</i>	7,417
Odessa: <i>Odessa American</i>	22,678
San Angelo: <i>Standard-Times</i>	28,578
Austin: <i>Austin American-Statesman</i>	206,505
Beaumont: <i>The Beaumont Enterprise</i>	51,342
Brownsville: <i>The Brownsville Herald</i>	20,403
Harlingen: <i>Valley Morning Star</i>	23,362
Corpus Christi: <i>Corpus Christi Caller-Times</i>	73,746
Dallas: <i>Morning News</i>	520,215
Del Rio: <i>Del Rio News-Herald</i>	5,019
Kerrville: <i>Kerrville Daily Times</i>	10,959
El Paso: <i>El Diario de El Paso</i>	7,384
Fort Worth: <i>Star-Telegram</i>	289,974
Houston: <i>Houston Chronicle</i>	632,797
Longview: <i>Longview News-Journal</i>	31,863
Lufkin: <i>The Lufkin Daily News</i>	14,072
Nacogdoches: <i>The Daily Sentinel</i>	8,102
Tyler: <i>Tyler Courier-Times-Telegraph</i>	40,701
Marshall: <i>The Marshall News Messenger</i>	6,870
Paris: <i>The Paris News</i>	10,858
Plainview: <i>Plainview Daily Herald</i>	5,594
San Antonio: <i>San Antonio Express-News</i>	315,959
Temple: <i>Temple Daily Telegram</i>	22,882
Waco: <i>Waco Tribune-Herald</i>	42,914
Victoria: <i>Victoria Advocate</i>	34,624
Wichita Falls: <i>Times Record News</i>	31,196
	<hr/> 2,505,730

Utah	CIRCULATION
Logan: <i>The Herald Journal</i>	17,011
Salt Lake City: <i>The Salt Lake Tribune Desert News</i>	218,318
	<hr/> 235,329

Vermont	CIRCULATION
Rutland/Barre-Montpelier: <i>The Rutland Herald/The Times-Argus</i>	25,442

Virginia	CIRCULATION
Martinsville: <i>Martinsville Bulletin</i>	18,420
Newport News: <i>Newport News Daily Press</i>	103,308
Norfolk: <i>The Virginian-Pilot</i>	200,012
Richmond: <i>Richmond Times-Dispatch</i>	205,895
Roanoke: <i>The Roanoke Times</i>	100,120
	<hr/> 627,755

Washington	CIRCULATION
Bellingham: <i>The Bellingham Herald</i>	28,438
Olympia: <i>The Olympian</i>	38,340
Tacoma: <i>The News Tribune</i>	125,955
Ellensburg: <i>Daily Record</i>	5,452
Kennewick: <i>Tri-City Herald</i>	42,646
Walla Walla: <i>Walla Walla Union-Bulletin</i>	15,401
Longview: <i>The Daily News</i>	21,727
Vancouver: <i>The Columbian</i>	50,277
Seattle: <i>The Seattle Times-Post Intelligencer Sunday</i>	409,231
Spokane: <i>The Spokesman-Review</i>	113,081
	<hr/>
	850,548

West Virginia	CIRCULATION
Beckley: <i>The Register-Herald</i>	27,592
Bluefield: <i>Bluefield Daily Telegraph</i>	17,476
Charleston: <i>Sunday Gazette-Mail</i>	74,556
Logan: <i>The Logan Banner</i>	8,349
Williamson: <i>Williamson Daily News</i>	6,142
Clarksburg: <i>Clarksburg Exponent-Telegram</i>	19,823
Fairmont: <i>Times West Virginian</i>	11,524
Parkersburg: <i>The Parkersburg News</i>	29,838
Wheeling: <i>Sunday News-Register</i>	34,911
Martinsburg: <i>The Journal</i>	18,226
Morgantown: <i>The Dominion Post</i>	25,189
	<hr/>
	273,626

Wisconsin	CIRCULATION
Chippewa Falls: <i>Chippewa Valley Newspapers</i>	10,538
La Crosse: <i>La Crosse Tribune</i>	40,135
Rhineland: <i>The Daily News</i>	3,656
Kenosha: <i>Kenosha News</i>	26,502
Racine: <i>The Journal Times</i>	30,860
Madison: <i>Wisconsin State Journal</i>	138,276
	<hr/>
	249,967


Wyoming	CIRCULATION
Casper: <i>Casper Star-Tribune</i>	29,860



CIRCULATION LIST 2009

Circulation: 22,640,725

Effective: July 5, 2009



NOW THE CHOICE OF OVER **700** NEWSPAPER PUBLISHERS

State/City/Newspaper	Circulation	State/City/Newspaper	Circulation	State/City/Newspaper	Circulation
Athens The News Courier	7,356	Ridgecrest The Daily Independent	3,967	Pensacola News Journal	63,299
Cullman Times	10,155	Salinas Californian	15,293	Sebring Highlands Today	17,670
Decatur The Decatur Daily	23,354	San Bernardino Sun	55,746	St. Augustine Record	19,053
Dothan Eagle	32,645	San Diego La Jolla Village News	19,500	Tallahassee Democrat	55,392
Florence-Sheffield-Tuscumbia-Muscle Shoals Times Daily	28,540	San Francisco Examiner	248,711	Tampa Centro Mi Diario	51,224
Gardendale North Jefferson News	2,788	San Jose Mercury News	244,661	Tampa/Newport Richey Suncoast Newspapers	121,003
Jasper Mountain Eagle	10,185	San Mateo/Lompoc Times	27,439	The Villages Daily Sun	39,465
Montgomery Advertiser	46,182	Santa Barbara News-Press	31,362	Winter Haven News Chief	7,599
Opelika/Auburn News	14,638	Santa Cruz Sentinel	21,865		
		Santa Maria/Lompoc Times-Record	22,362		
		Tulare/Visalia Advance Register-Times-Delta	20,351	Albany Herald	21,427
Juneau Empire	4,797	Ukiah Journal	6,714	Athens Banner-Herald	25,694
Kenai Peninsula Clarion	5,277	Vacaville Reporter	16,207	Augusta Chronicle	73,913
		Vallejo Times-Herald	15,603	Canton Cherokee Tribune	5,349
		Victorville/Barstow Press-Desert Dispatch	30,524	Carrollton Times-Georgian	8,770
Bullhead City Mohave Valley Daily News	9,930	Walnut Creek Contra Costa Times	161,911	Dalton Citizen	11,001
Casa Grande Dispatch	8,300	Watsonville Register-Pajaronian	5,268	Douglas County Sentinel	3,374
Phoenix La Voz	50,000	Woodland Democrat	8,517	Dublin Courier Herald	9,616
Phoenix Republic & Sunday Select	556,372	Yreka - Siskiyou Daily News	5,786	Gainesville Times	19,803
Sierra Vista Herald	8,837			Griffin News	6,641
Sun City News-Sun	11,000			Jonesboro/McDonough News-Daily Herald	4,399
Tucson Star	155,319	Aspen Times	10,500	LaGrange LaGrange Daily News	8,550
		Canon City Daily Record	7,389	Lawrenceville/Conyers/Rockdale Daily Post-Citizen	110,973
		Denver Post-Rocky Mountain News	503,508	Marietta Daily Journal-Cherokee Tribune-Neighbor Newspapers	103,060
Conway Log Cabin Democrat	11,040	Durango/Cortez Herald-Journal	15,069	Milledgeville Union-Recorder	7,163
El Dorado News-Times	14,175	Fort Collins Coloradoan	28,257	Newnan Times-Herald	9,800
Fort Smith Times Record	41,562	Frisco Summit Daily News	11,350	Perry Houston Journal	14,000
Harrison Times	8,697	Glenwood Springs Post Independent	11,250	Savannah Morning News	56,066
Hot Springs Sentinel-Record	17,459	Granby Sky Hi News	6,500		
Jonesboro Sun	19,830	Grand Junction Free Press	12,350		
Mountain Home Baxter Bulletin	10,691	Greeley Tribune	21,479	Hilo Tribune-Herald	20,647
Paragould Daily Press	4,237	Longmont Times-Call	22,280	Honolulu Advertiser	136,858
Pine Bluff Commercial	12,733	Loveland Reporter-Herald	17,752	Kailua/Kona West Hawaii Today	13,780
Russellville Courier	10,116	Steamboat Springs Steamboat Today	9,300	Lihue Garden Island	9,104
Searcy Citizen	5,300	Vail Daily	14,500		
Springdale/Bentonville News	36,889			Coeur D'Alene Press	31,246
		Bridgeport Connecticut Post	75,084		
Auburn Journal	9,925	Hartford Courant	224,595		
Benicia Herald	2,729	Nowalk Hour	13,395	Alton/East Alton/Wood River Telegraph	22,753
Chico Enterprise-Record	30,399	Nonwich Bulletin	24,994	Aurora Beacon News	27,757
Davis Enterprise	9,193	Williamantic Chronicle	6,871	Benton Evening News	2,900
Eureka Times-Standard	20,859			Charleston Times-Courier	5,233
Fairfield Republic	17,946			Chicago Sun-Times	253,975
Grass Valley The Union	15,628	Wilmington News Journal	114,091	Crystal Lake Northwest Herald	36,430
Harford Sentinel	10,172			Danville Commercial-News	13,631
Hayward/Fremont/Newark/Pleasanton ANG Newspapers	86,364			De Kalb Daily Chronicle	8,902
Lakeport Record-Bee	7,258	Washington Examiner	252,569	Du Quoin Evening Call	3,272
Lodi News-Sentinel	15,405	Washington Times	43,889	Effingham Daily News	11,345
Los Angeles Daily News	126,092			Eldorado Journal	788
Los Angeles County Breeze	64,457			Elgin Courier News	11,377
Los Angeles County Press Telegram	80,315	Boca Raton News	24,000	Geneva Chronicle	11,990
News	78,397	Brookville Hernando Today	3,727	Harrisburg Register	3,442
Madera Tribune	5,287	Charlotte Harbor/Port Charlotte Sun	51,719	Jacksonville Journal-Courier	12,362
Marin County Independent Journal	30,094	Crystal River Citrus County Chronicle	32,389	Joliet Herald-News	40,805
Marysville Appeal-Democrat	20,187	Daytona Beach News-Journal	101,321	Kankakee The Daily Journal	27,914
Monterey Herald	28,778	FL Lauderdale El Sentinel	99,154	La Salle/Peru/Oglesby/Spring Valley News-Tribune	16,651
Napa Register	14,414	FL Lauderdale/South Florida Sun-Sentinel	283,381	Marion Republican	1,995
Oakland Tribune	41,700	FL Myers News-Press	105,175	Mattoon Journal Gazette	8,673
Ontario Inland Valley Daily Bulletin	52,616	Jackson County Floridian	5,869	Morris Daily Herald	5,733
Palm Springs Desert Sun Newspapers	83,080	Jacksonville Times-Union	177,591	Mourt Vemon Register-News	8,969
Palmdale Antelope Valley Press	23,826	Kissimmee Osceola News-Gazette	38,469	Naperville Sun	15,032
Placerville Mountain Democrat	12,137	Leesburg Commercial	21,451	Olney Olney Daily Mail	4,100
Porterville Recorder	9,049	Live Oak Suwannee Democrat	5,953	Pontiac Leader	3,503
Red Bluff News	6,469	Melbourne Florida Today & Sunday Select	89,926	Rock Island/Moline/East Moline Argus-Dispatch	43,551
Redlands Facts	7,012	Panama City News Herald/Freedom Florida Newspapers	61,036	Rockford Register Star	64,134

State/City/Newspaper	Circulation	State/City/Newspaper	Circulation	State/City/Newspaper	Circulation
Shelbyville Daily Union	2,350	Parsons Parsons Sun	5,698	Alpena News	9,686
Sterling/Rock Falls Sauk Valley	18,361	Pittsburg Sun	6,491	Battle Creek Enquirer	18,697
Suburban Chicago Herald	131,789	Topeka Capital-Journal	48,221	Benton Harbor/St. Joseph Herald-Palladium	20,803
Suburban Chicago Southtown	54,486	Winfield Courier	4,418	Big Rapids/Manistee Pioneer-News Advocate	10,364
Waukegan/Lake County News Sun	18,496			Cadillac News	8,129
West Frankfort American	1,842			Cheboygan Daily Tribune	3,687
		Bardstown Kentucky Standard	9,289	Detroit News and Free Press & Sunday Select	671,902
		Corbin Times-Tribune	6,326	Escanaba Press	8,917
Angola Herald-Republican	4,607	Frankfort The State Journal	9,557	Grand Haven Tribune	10,896
Auburn Evening Star	6,021	Harlan Enterprise	7,000	Greenville News	7,499
Batesville The Herald Tribune	3,100	Hopkinsville New Era	10,237	Hillsdale News	5,793
Bluffton News-Banner	4,947	London The Sentinel-Echo	8,871	Holland Sentinel	18,529
Columbus Republic	19,146	Louisville Courier-Journal & Sunday Select	265,556	Houghton Mining Gazette	9,237
Connorsville News Examiner	5,969	Madisonville Messenger	7,893	Howell Livingston County Daily Press & Argus	15,707
Crawfordsville Journal Review	7,952	Maysville Ledger Independent	7,979	Iron Mountain/Kingsford News	9,464
Elkhart Truth	25,205	Middlesboro News	5,479	Lansing Lansing Community Newspapers	108,865
Frankfort Times	4,327	Owensboro Messenger-Inquirer	27,860	Lansing State Journal	72,831
Ft. Wayne News-Sentinel	22,355	Paducah Sun	24,405	Livonia Eccentric	27,500
Greensburg Greensburg Daily News	4,700	Richmond Register	5,769	Livonia Observer	57,000
Huntington Herald-Press	5,014			Owosso Argus-Press	9,508
Indianapolis Star & Sunday Select	340,497			Port Huron Times-Herald	22,707
Jasper Herald	11,839	Alexandria Town Talk	31,201	Sturgis Sturgis Journal	5,768
Kendallville News-Sun	7,729	Bogalusa Daily News	6,408		
La Porte Herald Argus	9,107	Covington St. Tammany News	7,200		
Lafayette/West Lafayette Journal and Courier	34,726	Hammond Star	11,650	Brainerd Dispatch	15,387
Lebanon The Reporter	4,665	La Place L'Observateur	5,000	Fairmont Sentinel	6,190
Marion Chronicle Tribune	14,387	Lafayette Advertiser	46,729	Fergus Falls Journal	6,329
Merriville Post-Tribune	59,469	Monroe News-Star	33,142	Marshall Independent	6,712
Michigan City News-Dispatch	9,532	New Iberia Sunday Iberian	13,814	Minneapolis/St. Paul Star Tribune	497,678
Muncie Star-Press	30,496	Opelousas World	8,971	Rochester Post-Bulletin	44,212
New Castle Courier-Times	7,152	Shreveport Times	58,860	St. Cloud Times	33,683
Peru Tribune	3,994	Thibodaux Comet	9,881	Stillwater Gazette	2,120
Richmond Palladium-Item	17,600			Virginia Mesabi News	10,488
Rushville The Republican	2,650				
Seymour Tribune	8,632	Augusta-Waterville Kennebec Journal-Morning Sentinel	28,413		
Shelbyville News	8,716	Bangor News	64,575	Coirnth Corinthian	5,988
Vincennes Sun-Commercial	9,397	Biddeford Journal-Tribune	8,188	Hattiesburg American	20,129
Wabash Plain Dealer	5,272	Lewiston/Auburn Sun-Journal	30,179	Jackson Clarion-Ledger	87,844
Warsaw Times-Union	10,203			Natchez Democrat	9,176
		Annapolis Capital	42,834		
Burlington Hawk Eye	19,450	Annapolis Maryland Gazette	26,356	Columbia Tribune	17,647
Centerville Daily Iowegian	2,700	Easton Sunday Star	17,385	Hannibal Courier-Post	6,763
Clinton Herald	10,968	Frederick News-Post	37,103	Independence/Blue Springs Examiner	12,746
Council Bluffs Nonpareil	16,374	Salisbury Times	25,176	Kirkville Kirksville Daily Express	3,391
Des Moines Register & Sunday Select	220,006	Westminster Carrol County Times	26,824	Maryville Maryville Daily Forum	2,300
Dubuque Telegraph-Herald	32,491			Mexico Mexico Ledger	6,015
Iowa City Press-Citizen	13,664			Moberly Moberly Monitor - Index and Evening Democrat	4,326
Knoxville Journal Express	2,111	Attleboro Sun Chronicle	17,898	Rolla Rolla Daily News	4,750
Muscatine Journal	6,605	Boston Herald	95,289	Sedalia Democrat	9,946
Oskaloosa Oskaloosa Herald	3,132	Brockton Enterprise	32,048	Springfield News-Leader	72,888
Ottumwa The Ottumwa Courier	12,040	Fall River Herald News	18,110		
Sioux City Journal	38,796	Fitchburg Sentinel & Enterprise	17,077		
		Framingham/Milford Metrowest News	32,961	Great Falls Tribune	31,066
		Gloucester Daily Times	8,722		
Arkansas City Traveler	4,012	Greenfield Recorder	12,769		
Chanute The Chanute Tribune	4,205	Lowell Sun	45,993	Beatrice Sun	6,563
Dodge City Globe	7,100	Newburyport Daily News	10,866	Columbus Telegram	9,438
Emporia Gazette	6,488	North Adams Transcript	6,661	Fremont Tribune	7,747
Garden City Telegram	7,966	North Andover Eagle-Tribune	42,894	Hasting Hastings Tribune	9,620
Hays News	11,078	Northampton Hampshire Gazette	17,814	Kearney Hub	12,090
Hutchinson News	34,073	Pittsfield/Berkshire Eagle	27,352	Lincoln Journal-Star	76,454
Lawrence Journal-World	18,811	Quincy Patriot Ledger	56,042	Norfolk Norfolk Daily News	15,972
Leavenworth Times	4,544	Salem News	26,029		
Newton Kansan	7,213	Taunton Gazette	8,226		
Ottawa The Ottawa Herald	5,300				

