

1 FRANCIS O. SCARPULLA (41059)  
2 CRAIG C. CORBITT (83251)  
3 CHRISTOPHER T. MICHELETTI (136446)  
4 JANE YI (257893)  
5 ZELLE HOFMANN VOELBEL & MASON LLP  
6 44 Montgomery Street, Suite 3400  
7 San Francisco, CA 94104  
8 Telephone: (415) 693-0700  
9 Facsimile: (415) 693-0770  
10 fscarpulla@zelle.com  
11 ccorbitt@zelle.com

12 *Lead and Liaison Counsel for*  
13 *Indirect Purchaser Class*

14  
15 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
16 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
17 **OAKLAND DIVISION**

18 IN RE STATIC RANDOM ACCESS  
19 MEMORY (SRAM) ANTITRUST  
20 LITIGATION

21 Case No. 4:07-md-1819 CW  
22 MDL No. 1819

23 **DECLARATION OF FRANCIS O.**  
24 **SCARPULLA IN SUPPORT OF MOTION**  
25 **FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF**  
26 **SETTLEMENT WITH CYPRESS**

27 This Document Relates to:

28 ALL INDIRECT PURCHASER ACTIONS

Hearing Date: March 10, 2011  
Time: 2:00 p.m.  
Courtroom: 2, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Judge: Hon. Claudia Wilken

I, Francis O. Scarpulla, declare as follows:

1. I am a partner of Zelle Hofmann Voelbel & Mason LLP, Lead Counsel for the Indirect Purchaser Class (“Plaintiffs”) in this case. The matters set forth herein are within my personal knowledge, and if called and sworn as a witness I could competently testify regarding them. I make this declaration pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746.

2. In December, 2007, the parties entered into a Stipulation and Protective Order concerning the disclosure of confidential and highly confidential information. That stipulation and protective order was granted on December 21, 2007, and shortly thereafter the Defendants began producing copies of documents and sales data in addition to the documents that Defendants had

1 previously turned over to Plaintiffs in connection with the Department of Justice's ("DOJ") SRAM  
2 investigation, as well as the DRAM Production. The Defendants' productions took place on a  
3 rolling basis. To date, Defendants have produced over eleven million pages from both domestic  
4 and foreign entities in addition to the millions of pages of documents previously produced in the  
5 DRAM antitrust litigation (*In re Dynamic Random Access Memory (DRAM) Antitrust Litigation*,  
6 Case No. M-02-1486 PJH (N.D. Cal.)) ("DRAM Production") and ordered produced in this case.  
7 Many of the documents are in Korean, Japanese and Chinese, and have been translated as well as  
8 being indexed, analyzed, and entered into a database.

9         3. Counsel for Plaintiffs dedicated numerous lawyers and paralegals, and considerable  
10 other resources (including third party vendors and technical staff) to the translation, analysis, and  
11 electronic coding of the documents produced by Defendants. During the document review, teams  
12 of attorneys electronically reviewed the documents produced by Defendants and continually  
13 inputted and coded the relevant subjective and objective data from documents into a document  
14 review database. The document review database provided Plaintiffs' counsel the ability to run  
15 sophisticated queries regarding the documents and significant issues in the case. In total,  
16 Plaintiffs' counsel reviewed and analyzed many millions of pages of documents from Defendants.

17         4. Defendants continued producing documents to Plaintiffs, on a rolling basis, until at  
18 least June, 2009. Starting in February, 2009, Defendants began producing documents on behalf of  
19 the foreign parent companies, many of which were in a foreign language. Many of these  
20 documents were reviewed, and some were translated.

21         5. In addition to reviewing the content of the produced documents, Plaintiffs' counsel  
22 organized certain "key" documents by subject matter category, and documents relating to more  
23 than 50 potential deponents that were compiled in preparation for depositions. These efforts were  
24 vital to Plaintiffs' preparation of motions, meet and confer efforts, damages analyses, and ability to  
25 timely respond to complex legal and factual issues that arose during this litigation, and also  
26 enhanced Plaintiffs' counsel's negotiations with Settling Defendants as Plaintiffs' counsel were  
27 armed with a full understanding of the strengths and weaknesses of their case.

28 ///

1           6.       In addition to the review of documents produced in connection with the DOJ's  
2 SRAM investigation (and the DRAM Production), Plaintiffs propounded separate Requests for  
3 Production of Documents and Interrogatories to all Defendants.

4           7.       Plaintiffs' counsel frequently met and conferred with opposing counsel regarding  
5 certain inadequacies in their document productions, and particularly about Defendants' consistent  
6 and uniform refusal to produce documents in response to Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs' First and  
7 Second Set of Document Requests to All Defendants.

8           8.       Plaintiffs' counsel also served approximately 80 subpoenas to third parties and met  
9 and conferred with counsel for the third parties prior to production. Plaintiffs were forced to file  
10 motions to compel regarding the production of some of the information sought from the third  
11 parties. Plaintiffs' counsel also reviewed the third party documents produced.

12           9.       Through the diligent analysis of the documents and other evidence produced,  
13 Plaintiffs identified current and former employees of the defendants with knowledge of the  
14 relevant issues in this case. As a result, Plaintiffs have participated with the Direct Purchaser  
15 Plaintiffs in taking the depositions of approximately 35 witnesses. Further, Plaintiffs also noticed  
16 and took the Rule 30(b)(6) depositions of Cypress and Samsung in February, 2010.

17           10.      Plaintiffs' counsel has worked extensively with consultants and experts in  
18 preparation for trial and future motions. In particular, Plaintiffs' counsel spent considerable time  
19 working with expert antitrust economists Michael J. Harris, Ph.D. and Mark Dwyer, Ph.D.,  
20 especially in connection with class certification and summary judgment proceedings.

21           11.      Class certification was granted on November 25, 2009, over Defendants'  
22 opposition, and was by no means guaranteed. Plaintiffs also successfully overcame a petition to  
23 the Ninth Circuit, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(f), to review the Court's class certification order.  
24 Since then, the parties completed merits discovery and engaged in and completed expert  
25 discovery—including the exchange of several expert merits reports regarding, *inter alia*, liability  
26 and damages as well as depositions of their respective experts.

27           12.      At each stage in this case, Defendants have strenuously contested Plaintiffs' claims.  
28 Defendants filed several *Twombly*-based motions to dismiss, aggressively opposed Plaintiffs'

1 motion to certify the Class, and also vigorously opposed virtually all of Plaintiffs' discovery  
2 requests – forcing Plaintiffs to engage in extensive meet and confer discussions and ultimately file  
3 multiple motions to compel. Following the close of merits and expert discovery, Samsung and  
4 Cypress also filed summary judgment and numerous other motions, including a motion to decertify  
5 the state indirect purchaser classes, a motion to dismiss for lack of subject matter jurisdiction  
6 pursuant to the Foreign Trade Antitrust Improvements Act, and a further motion by Cypress to  
7 decertify additional indirect purchaser classes.

8       13. Only after the close of discovery and multiple rounds of summary judgment and  
9 related briefing, including as described above, and only after consideration of applicable law, did  
10 Plaintiffs' counsel begin settlement negotiations with Cypress. Negotiations with Cypress were  
11 protracted and difficult, much like the negotiations with the other seven sets of Settling  
12 Defendants.

13       14. I participated in all of the settlement negotiations with Cypress. Plaintiffs' counsel  
14 was well aware of the strengths and weaknesses of Plaintiffs' case. The settlement discussions  
15 began during the course of a mediation session with Samsung and Cypress before the Court-  
16 appointed mediator, the Honorable Daniel Weinstein, on September 27, 2010. Negotiations  
17 continued until the Cypress Settlement was concluded and entered on January 27, 2011. The  
18 negotiations were vigorous and non-collusive, and included the involvement of Judge Weinstein,  
19 considerable meetings, exchanges of information as well as presentations by the parties about their  
20 views of the case. The Cypress settlement agreement is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

21       15. It is my opinion that the Cypress Settlement is, in every respect, fair, adequate and  
22 reasonable and in the best interests of the Class members. My opinion in this regard is based upon  
23 the knowledge gained from the extensive investigation and discovery conducted in this case to  
24 date, as described above, and consultation with other lawyers representing Plaintiffs and the Class  
25 in this case, who are of the same opinion. My opinion is also based on my extensive experience in  
26 class action antitrust cases. I have practiced in this field for more than 43 years and have been  
27 involved in many class action settlements. Based on my experience, a settlement such as that  
28 obtained here with Cypress for \$1,000,000 is a fair and reasonable result.

1 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the  
2 foregoing is true and correct.

3 Executed this 3rd day of February, 2011 in San Francisco, California.  
4

5 */s/ Francis O. Scarpulla*

6 Francis O. Scarpulla  
7

8 3223503.1  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

# EXHIBIT A

**SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT BETWEEN CYPRESS SEMICONDUCTOR CORPORATION AND SRAM INDIRECT-PURCHASER CLASS PLAINTIFFS**

This Settlement Agreement ("Agreement") is made and entered into this 27<sup>th</sup> day of January 2011, by and between Defendant Cypress Semiconductor Corporation ("Cypress") and the plaintiff class representatives, Lara Sterenberg, United Food & Commercial Workers Local 99, Robert Harmon, Michael Brooks, Lawrence Markey, Roman J. Munoz, Joseph Solo, Stargate Films, United Food & Commercial Workers Local 8, Dona Culver, Ronnie Barnes, Ryan Edwards, John Pharr d/b/a JP Micro, Ramon Oyadomari, Unite Here Local 5, Herbert Harmison, David Sly, nXio, LLC, Penobscot Eye Care, James W. Allen, Matthew Frank, Fairmont Orthopedics & Sports Medicine, P.A., Reclaim Center, Inc., Henry Kornegay, Our Montana, Inc., Culinary Workers Union Local 226, Allen Robert Kelley, Daniel Yohalem, Rodrigo Bazan Gatti, CHP Media, Inc., Curtis Hogue, Jr., Ward Cater, Beth O'Donnell, Carlos R. Carrillo, Javier Oyola-Aleman, Kevin Kicia, Mitch Mudlin, Frank C. Warner, Christopher K. Giauque, Christopher Smith, Donna Hark, David Loomis, Mark and Shannon Schneider and Christopher Stawski ("Plaintiffs"), both individually and on behalf of a settlement class of indirect purchasers of Static Random Access Memory (the "Class"), as more particularly defined in paragraph 1 below.

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs are prosecuting the *In re Static Random Access Memory (SRAM) Antitrust Litigation*, Case No. 4:07-md-1819 CW, MDL No. 1819 (the "Action") on their own behalf and on behalf of the Class against, among others, Cypress;

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs allege that Cypress participated in an unlawful conspiracy to raise, fix, maintain, or stabilize the price of SRAM at artificially high levels in violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act and other antitrust, unfair competition and/or consumer protection laws of jurisdictions within the United States;

WHEREAS, Cypress denies Plaintiffs' allegations and has asserted defenses to Plaintiffs' claims;

WHEREAS, on November 25, 2009, the Court certified a nationwide class pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a) and (b)(2) for injunctive and declaratory relief and 27 classes for monetary relief in Arizona, Arkansas, California, Florida, Hawaii, Iowa, Kansas, Maine, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Montana, Nevada, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Dakota, Tennessee, Utah, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, Puerto Rico, and the District of Columbia;

WHEREAS, on July 15, 2010, Cypress filed summary judgment and numerous other motions, including a motion to decertify the state indirect purchaser classes, and a motion to dismiss for lack of subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to the Foreign Trade Antitrust Improvements Act ("FTAIA");

WHEREAS, on December 8, 2010, the Court entered an order granting summary judgment to Cypress on multiple claims asserted by Plaintiffs, including summary judgment or partial summary judgment on one or more of Plaintiffs' claims under Arkansas, Kansas, Maine, Montana, New York, Pennsylvania, Puerto Rico, Utah and Wyoming law;

WHEREAS, on December 31, 2010, the Court entered an order finding that Plaintiffs' claims were subject to the jurisdictional limits imposed by the FTAIA and noted that if Plaintiffs "are unable to present sufficient evidence [to satisfy the 'domestic effects' test of the FTAIA], and are unable to segregate foreign from domestic transactions, all of their damage claims would fail";

WHEREAS, on January 14, 2011, the Court entered an order granting Cypress' motion for separate trials, such that the trial of the direct purchaser class against Samsung would be held separately from the trial of the Plaintiffs' indirect purchaser claims against Cypress;

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs have conducted an investigation into the facts and the law regarding the Action and have concluded that resolving claims against Cypress according to the terms set forth below is in the best interest of Plaintiffs and the Class; and

WHEREAS, Cypress believes that it is not liable for the claims asserted and has good defenses thereto, but nevertheless has agreed to enter into this Agreement to avoid further expense, inconvenience, and the distraction of burdensome and protracted litigation and to obtain the releases, orders and judgment contemplated by this Agreement, and to put to rest with finality all claims that have been or could have been asserted against Cypress based on the allegations of the Action, as more particularly set out below;

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the covenants, agreements, and releases set forth herein and for other good and valuable consideration, it is agreed by and among the undersigned that the Action be settled, compromised, and dismissed on the merits with prejudice as to the Cypress Releasees, as defined below, and except as hereinafter provided, without costs as to Plaintiffs, the Class, or Cypress, subject to the approval of the Court, on the following terms and conditions:

A. Definitions.

1. (a) For purposes of this Agreement, and subject to paragraph 1(b) below, the "Class" is defined as all persons and entities residing in the United States who, from November 1, 1996 through December 31, 2006 (the "Class Period"), purchased SRAM (as defined in paragraph 2) in the United States indirectly from the Defendants. The Class excludes the following persons and entities: the Defendants; the officers, directors or employees of any Defendant; any entity in which any of the Defendants has a controlling interest; any affiliate, legal representative, heir or assign of any Defendant; any federal, state or local governmental entities; and any judicial officer presiding over the Action and the members of her immediate family and

judicial staff. The definitions of the Class and Class Period herein do not limit the scope of the Release provided for in paragraphs 13 through 15 of this Agreement. For the avoidance of doubt, "Defendants" refers to all parties that were defendants in the Action, and the "Class" is meant to include indirect purchasers described above who purchased products containing Defendants' SRAM.

(b) Plaintiffs warrant and represent that no defendant that has previously settled has obtained a release broader in scope than the release agreed to in this Agreement. To the extent Plaintiffs have agreed to such a release, Plaintiffs agree that any such broader release agreed to by Plaintiffs with any other settled defendant shall apply to this Agreement as well. For purposes of this provision, the scope of the release refers to the (1) time period; (2) geographic territory; (3) products covered; and/or (4) persons or entities included in the scope of the release.

2. For purposes of this Agreement only, SRAM is defined to mean all types of static random access memory (including pseudo static random memory known as "PSRAM"), whether or not packaged, and any parts and modules thereof.

3. "Cypress Releasees" shall refer to Cypress and to each and all of its respective past and present, and direct and indirect, parents, subsidiaries, affiliates; the predecessors, successors and assigns of any and all of the above; and each and all of the present and former principals, partners, officers, directors, supervisors, employees, representatives, insurers, attorneys, heirs, executors, administrators, and assigns of each of the foregoing.

4. "Class Member" means each member of the Class who has not timely elected to be excluded from the Class.

5. "Releasers" shall refer to the Plaintiffs and the Class Members, and to their past and present officers, directors, employees, agents, stockholders, attorneys, servants, representatives, parent entities, subsidiaries, affiliates, partners, insurers and all other persons,

partnerships or corporations with whom any of the former have been, or are now, affiliated, and the predecessors, successors, heirs, executives, administrators and assigns of any of the foregoing.

6. The "Settlement Fund" shall be \$1,000,000 (One Million Dollars) in United States funds, plus accrued interest on said deposits set forth in paragraph 17, below.

7. "Lead Counsel" shall refer to:

Francis O. Scarpulla  
Craig C. Corbitt  
Christopher T. Micheletti  
Zelle Hofmann Voelbel & Mason LLP  
44 Montgomery St., Suite 3400  
San Francisco, CA 94104

B. Approval of this Agreement and Dismissal of Claims Against Cypress.

8. Plaintiffs and Cypress shall use their best efforts to effectuate this Agreement, including cooperating in promptly seeking the Court's approval for the establishment of procedures (including the giving of class notice under Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 23(c) and (e)) to secure the prompt, complete, and final dismissal with prejudice of the Action as to Cypress only.

9. As soon as practicable after the date of this Agreement, Plaintiffs shall submit to the Court a motion for authorization to disseminate notice of the settlement, settlement class certification, and final judgment contemplated by this Agreement to all Class Members consistent with due process requirements and as ordered by the Court (the "Motion"). If notice to the Class is given jointly with any other settling defendant, for purposes of paragraph 19 below, the costs of notice and claims administration shall be prorated with any other such defendant based on their respective settlement amounts. The Motion shall include: (a) a proposed form of, method for, and date of dissemination of notice; and (b) a proposed form of order and final judgment. The text of the foregoing items (a) and (b) shall be agreed upon by Plaintiffs and

Cypress before submission of the Motion, with the understanding that, among other things, notice to the Class will meet the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23 and due process, with all expenses paid from the Settlement Fund. The Motion shall recite and ask the Court to find that the proposed form of notice constitutes valid, due and sufficient notice to the Class, constitutes the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and complies fully with the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23.

10. Plaintiffs and Cypress shall jointly seek entry of an order and final judgment, the text of which Plaintiffs and Cypress shall agree upon. The terms of that order and final judgment will include, at a minimum, the substance of the following provisions:

(a) certifying the Class described in paragraph 1, pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, for purposes of the settlement set forth in this Agreement;

(b) as to the Action, approving finally the settlement set forth in this Agreement and its terms as being a fair, reasonable and adequate settlement as to the Class Members within the meaning of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and directing its consummation according to its terms;

(c) as to Cypress, directing that the Action be dismissed with prejudice and, except as provided for in this Agreement, without costs;

(d) reserving exclusive jurisdiction over the settlement and this Agreement, specifically as to the administration and consummation of this settlement, including any *cypress* component, to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California; and

(e) determining under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 54(b) that there is no just reason for delay in entering a judgment of dismissal as to Cypress and directing that the judgment of dismissal as to Cypress shall be final.

11. This Agreement shall become final when: (a) the Court has entered a final order approving this Agreement under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(e) and a final judgment dismissing the Action with prejudice as to Cypress against all Class Members and without costs other than those provided for in this Agreement; and (b) the time for appeal or to seek permission to appeal from the Court's approval of this Agreement and entry of a final judgment as to Cypress as described in (a) hereof has expired or, if appealed, approval of this Agreement and the final judgment as to Cypress have been affirmed in their entirety by the Court of last resort to which such appeal has been taken and such affirmance has become no longer subject to further appeal or review. It is agreed that the provisions of Rule 60 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure shall not be taken into account in determining the above-stated times. On the date that Plaintiffs and Cypress have executed this Agreement, Plaintiffs and Cypress shall be bound by its terms and this Agreement shall not be rescinded except in accordance with paragraphs 16, 17 or 25 of this Agreement.

12. Neither this Agreement (whether or not it should become final), nor the final judgment, nor any and all negotiations, documents and discussions associated with them, shall be deemed or construed to be an admission by Cypress (or the Cypress Releasees) or evidence of any violation of any statute or law or of any liability or wrongdoing whatsoever by Cypress (or the Cypress Releasees), or of the truth of any of the claims or allegations contained in any complaint or any other pleading filed by Plaintiffs in the Action, and evidence thereof shall not be discoverable or used directly or indirectly, in any way, whether in the Action or in any other action or proceeding. Neither this Agreement, nor any of its terms and provisions, nor any of the negotiations or proceedings connected with it, nor any other action taken to carry out this Agreement by any of the Plaintiffs and/or Cypress shall be referred to, offered as evidence or received in evidence in any pending or future civil, criminal, or administrative action or

proceeding, except in a proceeding to enforce this Agreement, or to defend against the assertion of Released Claims, or as otherwise required by law. This provision will survive even if this Agreement does not become final and/or is rescinded.

C. Release, Discharge, and Covenant Not to Sue.

13. In addition to the effect of any final judgment entered in accordance with this Agreement, upon this Agreement becoming final as set out in paragraph 11 of this Agreement, and in consideration of payment of the Settlement Fund, as specified in paragraph 16 of this Agreement, and for other valuable consideration, the Cypress Releasees shall be completely released, acquitted, and forever discharged from any and all claims, demands, actions, suits, causes of action, whether class, individual, direct, derivative, representative or otherwise in nature (whether or not any Class Member has objected to the settlement or makes a claim upon or participates in the Settlement Fund, whether directly, representatively, derivatively or in any other capacity) that Releasors, or each of them, ever had, now has, or hereafter can, shall, or may have on account of, or in any way arising out of, any and all known and unknown, foreseen and unforeseen, suspected or unsuspected injuries, damages, and the consequences thereof in any way arising out of or relating in any way to any act or omission of the Cypress Releasees (or any of them) concerning the manufacture, supply, distribution, sale or pricing of SRAM up through the last date of the Class Period (subject to any adjustment pursuant to paragraph 1(b) above), including but not limited to any conduct alleged, and causes of action asserted or that could have been alleged or asserted, in class action complaints filed in the Action, including those arising under any federal or state antitrust, unfair competition, unfair practices, price discrimination, unitary pricing, trade practice law, or consumer protection law, including without limitation, the Sherman Antitrust Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1 *et seq.* (the "Released Claims"). Releasors shall not, after

the date of this Agreement, seek to establish liability against any Cypress Releasee based, in whole or in part, upon any of the Released Claims or conduct at issue in the Released Claims.

14. In addition to the provisions of paragraph 13 of this Agreement, Releasors hereby expressly waive and release, upon this Agreement becoming final, any and all provisions, rights, and benefits conferred by Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which states:

CERTAIN CLAIMS NOT AFFECTED BY GENERAL RELEASE. A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR;

or by any law of any state or territory of the United States, or principle of common law, which is similar, comparable, or equivalent to Section 1542 of the California Civil Code. Each Releasor may hereafter discover facts other than or different from those which he, she, or it knows or believes to be true with respect to the claims which are the subject matter of the provisions of paragraph 13 of this Agreement, but each Releasor hereby expressly waives and fully, finally, and forever settles and releases, upon this Agreement becoming final, any known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, contingent or non-contingent claim with respect to the subject matter of the provisions of paragraph 13 of this Agreement, whether or not concealed or hidden, without regard to the subsequent discovery or existence of such different or additional facts.

15. The release, discharge, and covenant not to sue set forth in paragraph 13 of this Agreement does not include claims by any of the Class Members other than the Released Claims and does not include other claims, such as those solely arising out of product liability or breach of contract claims in the ordinary course of business not covered by the Released Claims. Further, the release, discharge and covenant not to sue set forth in paragraph 13 of this Agreement includes only indirect-purchaser claims.

D. Settlement Amount.

16. Subject to the provisions hereof, and in full, complete and final settlement of the Action as provided herein, Cypress shall pay \$1,000,000 (One Million Dollars) (the "Settlement Amount") in United States Dollars into an escrow account to be administered in accordance with the provisions of paragraph 17 of this Agreement (the "Escrow Account") within 15 days from the date of the Court's preliminary approval of this Agreement. The foregoing Settlement Amount shall be neither increased nor decreased based on: (a) any requests for exclusion from the Class, or (b) any past or future separate settlements between Cypress and Class Members.

17. Escrow Account.

(a) The Escrow Account will be established at Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, or such other bank as mutually agreed by Cypress and Lead Counsel, with such Bank serving as escrow agent ("Escrow Agent") subject to escrow instructions mutually acceptable to Lead Counsel and Cypress and escrow agreement among Cypress, Lead Counsel and Escrow Agent, such escrow to be administered under the Court's continuing supervision and control.

(b) The Escrow Agent shall cause the funds deposited in the Escrow Account to be invested in instruments backed by the full faith and credit of the United States Government or fully insured by the United States Government or an agency thereof, or money market funds invested substantially in such instruments, and shall reinvest any income from these instruments and the proceeds of these instruments as they mature in similar instruments at their then-current market rates.

(c) All funds held in the Escrow Account shall be deemed and considered to be *in custodia legis* of the Court, and shall remain subject to the jurisdiction of the Court, until such

time as such funds shall be distributed pursuant to this Agreement and/or further order(s) of the Court.

(d) Plaintiffs and Cypress agree to treat the Settlement Fund as being at all times a "qualified settlement fund" within the meaning of Treas. Reg. § 1.468B-1. In addition, the Escrow Agent shall timely make such elections as necessary or advisable to carry out the provisions of this paragraph 17, including the "relation-back election" (as defined in Treas. Reg. § 1.468B-1) back to the earliest permitted date. Such elections shall be made in compliance with the procedures and requirements contained in such regulations. It shall be the responsibility of the Escrow Agent to timely and properly prepare and deliver the necessary documentation for signature by all necessary parties, and thereafter to cause the appropriate filing to occur.

(e) For the purpose of Section 468B of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, and the regulations promulgated thereunder, the "administrator" shall be the Escrow Agent. The Escrow Agent shall timely and properly file all informational and other tax returns necessary or advisable with respect to the Settlement Fund (including without limitation the returns described in Treas. Reg. Section 1.468B-2(k)(l)). Such returns (as well as the election described in paragraph 17(d)) shall be consistent with paragraph 17(d) and in all events shall reflect that all Taxes, as defined below (including any estimated Taxes, interest or penalties), on the income earned by the Settlement Fund shall be paid out of the Settlement Fund as provided in paragraph 17(f) hereof.

(f) All (i) taxes (including any estimated taxes, interest or penalties) arising with respect to the income earned by the Settlement Fund, including any taxes or tax detriments that may be imposed upon Cypress or any other Cypress Releasee with respect to any income earned by the Settlement Fund for any period during which the Settlement Fund does not qualify as a "qualified settlement fund" for federal or state income tax purposes ("Taxes"); and (ii)

expenses and costs incurred in connection with the operation and implementation of paragraphs 17(d) through 17(f) (including, without limitation, expenses of tax attorneys and/or accountants and mailing and distribution costs and expenses relating to filing (or failing to file) the returns described in paragraph 17(g) ("Tax Expenses")), shall be paid out of the Settlement Fund.

(g) Neither Cypress nor any other Cypress Releasee nor their respective counsel shall have any liability or responsibility for the Taxes or the Tax Expenses. Further, Taxes and Tax Expenses shall be treated as, and considered to be, a cost of administration of the Settlement Fund and shall be timely paid by the Escrow Agent out of the Settlement Fund without prior order from the Court and the Escrow Agent shall be obligated (notwithstanding anything herein to the contrary) to withhold from distribution to any claimants authorized by the Court any funds necessary to pay such amounts including the establishment of adequate reserves for any Taxes and Tax Expenses (as well as any amounts that may be required to be withheld under Treas. Reg. Section 1.468B-2(1)(2)). Neither Cypress nor any other Cypress Releasee is responsible nor shall they have any liability therefor. Plaintiffs and Cypress agree to cooperate with the Escrow Agent, each other, and their tax attorneys and accountants to the extent reasonably necessary to carry out the provisions of paragraphs 17(d) through 17(f).

(h) If this Agreement does not receive final Court approval, or if the Action is not certified as a class action for settlement purposes, then all amounts paid by Cypress into the Settlement Fund (other than notice costs expended in accordance with paragraph 19(a)) shall be promptly returned to Cypress from the Escrow Account by the Escrow Agent along with any interest accrued thereon.

18. Exclusions. Lead Counsel will cause copies of requests for exclusion from the Class to be provided to counsel for Cypress.

19. Payment of Expenses.

(a) Cypress agrees to permit use of a maximum of \$200,000 of the Settlement Fund towards notice to the Class and administration costs provided, however, that any such amount shall be based on Cypress's pro rata share of such costs based on the amounts of any other settlement. The notice and claims administration expenses actually paid (to a maximum of \$200,000) are not recoverable if this settlement does not become final. Other than as set forth in this paragraph and except as Plaintiffs' counsel ("Class Counsel") shall apply for reimbursement of costs and attorneys' fees pursuant to paragraph 24 below, neither Cypress nor any of the other Cypress Releasees under this Agreement shall be liable for any of the costs or expenses of the litigation of the Action, including attorneys' fees; fees and expenses of expert witnesses and consultants; and costs and expenses associated with discovery, motion practice, hearings before the Court or any Special Master, appeals, trials or the negotiation of other settlements, or for Class administration and costs.

E. The Settlement Fund.

20. Releasors shall look solely to the Settlement Fund for settlement and satisfaction against the Cypress Releasees of all Released Claims, and shall have no other recovery against Cypress or any other Cypress Releasee.

21. After this Agreement becomes final within the meaning of paragraph 11, the Settlement Fund shall be distributed in accordance with a plan to be submitted at the appropriate time by Plaintiffs, subject to approval by the Court, including a *cy pres* component for any unclaimed funds. Plaintiffs agree that in their plan to be submitted to the Court, they will recommend as *cy pres* recipients, among others, Second Harvest of Santa Clara and San Mateo Counties ("Second Harvest") and Feeding America, the national hunger relief charity with which Second Harvest is affiliated. In no event shall Cypress nor any Cypress Releasee have any responsibility, financial obligation, or liability whatsoever with respect to the investment,

distribution, or administration of the Settlement Fund, including, but not limited to, the costs and expenses of such distribution and administration, with the sole exception of the provisions set forth in paragraph 19(a) of this Agreement.

22. Plaintiffs and Class Counsel shall be reimbursed and indemnified solely out of the Settlement Fund for all expenses. The Cypress Releasees shall not be liable for any costs, fees, or expenses of any of Plaintiffs' or the Class' respective attorneys, experts, advisors, agents, or representatives, but all such costs, fees, and expenses as approved by the Court shall be paid out of the Settlement Fund.

23. Class Counsel's Attorneys' Fees and Reimbursement of Expenses.

(a) Class Counsel may submit an application or applications to the Court (the "Fee and Expense Application") for distribution to them from the Settlement Fund and Cypress shall not oppose such application for: (a) an award of attorneys' fees not in excess of one-third of the Settlement Fund; plus (b) reimbursement of expenses and costs incurred in connection with prosecuting the Action, plus interest on such attorneys' fees, costs and expenses at the same rate and for the same period as earned by the Settlement Fund (until paid) as may be awarded by the Court (the "Fee and Expense Award"). Class Counsel reserve the right to make additional applications for fees and expenses incurred, but in no event shall Cypress nor any Cypress Releasee be responsible to pay any such additional fees and expenses except to the extent they are paid out of the Settlement Fund.

(b) The Fee and Expense Award, as approved by the Court, shall be paid solely from the Settlement Fund. After this Agreement becomes final within the meaning of paragraph 11, the Fee and Expense Award shall be paid to Lead Counsel within ten (10) business days. Lead Counsel shall allocate the attorneys' fees among Class Counsel in a manner which he or she in

good faith believes reflects the contributions of such counsel to the prosecution and settlement of the Action.

(c) The procedure for and the allowance or disallowance by the Court of the application by Class Counsel for attorneys' fees, costs and expenses to be paid out of the Settlement Fund are not part of this Agreement, and are to be considered by the Court separately from the Court's consideration of the fairness, reasonableness and adequacy of the settlement, and any order or proceeding relating to the Fee and Expense Application, or any appeal from any such order shall not operate to terminate or cancel this Agreement, or affect or delay the finality of the judgment approving the settlement.

(d) Neither Cypress nor any other Cypress Releasee under this Agreement shall have any responsibility for, or interest in, or liability whatsoever with respect to any payment to Class Counsel of any Fee and Expense Award in the Action.

(e) Neither Cypress nor any other Cypress Releasee under this Agreement shall have any responsibility for, or interest in, or liability whatsoever with respect to the allocation among Class Counsel, and/or any other person who may assert some claim thereto, of any Fee and Expense Award that the Court may make in the Action.

F. Rescission if this Agreement is Not Approved or Final Judgment is Not Entered.

24. If the Court refuses to approve this Agreement or any part hereof, or if such approval is modified or set aside on appeal, or if the Court does not enter the final judgment provided for in paragraph 10 of this Agreement, or if the Court enters the final judgment and appellate review is sought, and on such review, such formal judgment is not affirmed in its entirety, then Cypress and the Plaintiffs shall each, in their sole discretion, have the option to rescind this Agreement in its entirety. Written notice of the exercise of any such right to rescind shall be made according to the terms of paragraph 36 within 15 days of the event triggering the

right to rescind. A modification or reversal on appeal of any amount of Class Counsel's fees and expenses awarded by the Court from the Settlement Fund shall not be grounds for rescission of this Agreement under this paragraph 25.

25. In the event that this Agreement does not become final, then this Agreement shall be of no force or effect and any and all parts of the Settlement Fund caused to be deposited in the Escrow Account (including interest earned thereon) shall be returned forthwith to Cypress less only amounts spent on class notice and administrative costs up to \$200,000, as set forth in paragraph 19(a) of this Agreement. Cypress expressly reserves all of its rights if this Agreement does not become final. Notwithstanding the foregoing, Plaintiffs, Lead Counsel, and Cypress agree that this Agreement, whether or not it shall become final, and any and all negotiations, documents, and discussions associated with it, shall not be deemed or construed to be an admission or evidence of any violation of any statute or law or of any liability or wrongdoing whatsoever by Cypress (or the Cypress Releasees), or of the truth of any of the claims or allegations contained in the complaint or any other pleading filed by Plaintiffs in the Action, and evidence thereof shall not be discoverable or used directly or indirectly, in any way, whether in the Action or in any other action or proceeding.

26. This Agreement shall be construed and interpreted to effectuate the intent of the parties, which is to provide, through this Agreement, for a complete resolution of the relevant claims with respect to each Cypress Releasee as provided in this Agreement.

27. The parties to this Agreement contemplate and agree that, prior to final approval of the settlement as provided for in paragraph 9 of this Agreement, appropriate notice: (a) of the settlement; and (b) of a hearing at which the Court will consider the approval of this Agreement, will be given to Class Members.

G. Miscellaneous.

28. This Agreement does not settle or compromise any claim by Plaintiffs or any Class Member asserted in the complaint against any defendant or alleged co-conspirator other than the Cypress Releasees. All rights against such other defendants or alleged co-conspirators are specifically reserved by Plaintiffs and the Class.

29. Subject to paragraphs 1(b) and 1(c) above, this Agreement shall not affect whatever rights Releasees or any of them may have: (a) to seek damages or other relief from any person with respect to any SRAM indirectly purchased outside the United States; (b) to participate in or benefit from, where appropriate, any relief or other recovery as part of a settlement or judgment in any action on behalf of any direct purchasers of SRAM; (c) to participate in or benefit from any relief or recovery as part of a judgment or settlement in the Action against any other party named as a defendant (other than a Cypress Releasee); and (d) to assert any product liability or breach of contract claims in the ordinary course of business which are not covered by the Released Claims.

30. The United States District Court for the Northern District of California shall retain jurisdiction over the implementation, enforcement, and performance of this Agreement, and shall have exclusive jurisdiction over any suit, action, proceeding, or dispute arising out of or relating to this Agreement or the applicability of this Agreement that cannot be resolved by negotiation and agreement by Plaintiffs and Cypress. This Agreement shall be governed by and interpreted according to the substantive laws of the state of California without regard to its choice of law or conflict of laws principles.

31. This Agreement constitutes the entire, complete and integrate agreement among Plaintiffs and Cypress pertaining to the settlement of the Action against Cypress, and supersedes all prior and contemporaneous undertakings of Plaintiffs and Cypress in connection herewith. This Agreement may not be modified or amended except in writing executed by Plaintiffs and Cypress, and approved by the Court.

32. This Agreement shall be binding upon, and inure to the benefit of, the successors and assigns of Plaintiffs and Cypress. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, each and every covenant and agreement made herein by Plaintiffs, Lead Counsel or Class Counsel shall be binding upon all Class Members and Releasers. The Cypress Releasees (other than Cypress, which is a party hereto) are third party beneficiaries of this Agreement and are authorized to enforce its terms applicable to them.


33. This Agreement may be executed in counterparts by Plaintiffs and Cypress, and a facsimile signature shall be deemed an original signature for purposes of executing this Agreement.

34. Neither Plaintiffs nor Cypress shall be considered to be the drafter of this Agreement or any of its provisions for the purpose of any statute, case law, or rule of interpretation or construction that would or might cause any provision to be construed against the drafter of this Agreement.

35. Where this Agreement requires either party to provide notice or any other communication or document to the other, such notice shall be in writing, and such notice, communication, or document shall be provided by facsimile or letter by overnight delivery to the undersigned counsel of record for the party to whom notice is being provided.


36. Each of the undersigned attorneys represents that he or she is fully authorized to enter into the terms and conditions of, and to execute, this Agreement of the parties he or she represents, subject to Court approval.

Dated: January 27, 2011

  
Francis O. Scarpulla  
Craig C. Corbitt  
Christopher T. Micheletti

Zelle Hofmann Voelbel & Mason LLP  
44 Montgomery St., Suite 3400  
San Francisco, CA 94104  
(415) 693-0700

*Lead Counsel and Attorneys for the Class*



---

Lee H. Rubin  
Mayer Brown LLP  
Two Palo Alto Square, Suite 300  
3000 El Camino Real  
Palo Alto, CA 94306-2112  
(650) 331-2000

*Counsel for Cypress Semiconductor Corporation*

Dated: January 26, 2011